#### AIR COMMAND AND STAFF COLLEGE

#### **AIR UNIVERSITY**

# MILITARY OFFICER APPRAISAL, AN EXAMINATION

by

Jay S. Lewis, Lieutenant Commander, U.S. Navy

A Research Report Submitted to the Faculty

In Partial Fulfillment of the Graduation Requirements

Advisor: Commander Al StClair

Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama

**April** 1999

### **Disclaimer**

The views expressed in this academic research paper are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States government or the Department of Defense. In accordance with Air Force Instruction 51-303, it is not copyrighted, but is the property of the United States government.

# **Contents**

	Page
DISCLAIMER	ii
ABSTRACT	v
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM	1
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, OFFICER EVALUATION SYSTEM.  Performance Feedback Worksheet  Officer Performance Reporting (OPR)  Promotion Recommendation Form	16 17
UNITED STATES ARMY, OFFICER EVALUATION REPORTING SYSTEM	28
UNITED STATES NAVY, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND COUNSELING SYSTEM	32
CONCLUSIONS	39
APPENDIX A: UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, FITNESS REPORT (NAVMC 10835A, REV. 1-99 (EF))	44
APPENDIX B: UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM, SECTION F – LEADERSHIP	49
APPENDIX C: UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM, SECTION H – FULFILLMENT OF EVALUATION RESPONSIBILITIES	54
APPENDIX D: UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, COMPANY AND FIELD GRADE OFFICER PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK WORKSHEETS	55
APPENDIX E: UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, COMPANY AND FIELD GRADE OFFICER PERFORMANCE REPORT (OPR)	57
APPENDIX F: UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION FORM (PRF)	61

SUPPORT FORMSUPPORT FORM	62
APPENDIX H: UNITED STATES ARMY, OFFICER EVALUATION REPORT (OER)	64
APPENDIX I: UNITED STATES NAVY, FITNESS REPORT AND COUNSELING RECORD (E7-06)	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	68

#### Abstract

There is little or no argument that the four military services (U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps, and U.S. Navy) have different approaches when performing an evaluation and appraisal of their officers. One unfailing theme is that each service's goal is to document an individual's military career and provide a consistent stream of reliable information to promotion, administrative, and command selection boards. This one document, whether it's the United States Navy's or Marine Corp's Fitness Report (FITREP), Air Force's Officer Performance Report (OPR), or the Army's Officer Evaluation Report (OER), each of these records has the greatest impact on each officer's military career and promotion opportunities. Could it be possible that an examination of each services documentation process could lead to a better format? To this end, this paper contains a broad review and analysis of the services instructions and guidance, discusses the strengths, weakness, and offers recommendations of possible improvements to their respective evaluation systems.

#### Chapter 1

## **United States Marine Corps, Performance Evaluation System**

Where I would like to learn what I did, I learn only what I was thinking. They are loaded with opinion, moral thoughts, quick evaluations, youthful hopes and cares and sorrows. Occasionally, they manage to report something in exquisite honesty and accuracy.

—E.B. White

The United States Marine Corps (USMC), Performance Evaluation System (PES) came on line 01 January 1999. This offers an unprecedented opportunity to examine a completely revitalized evaluation system.

A first impression, the Marine Corps Instruction P1610.7E (MCINST P1610.7E) is thoroughly impressive, but like an old saying you might here from time to time, "Be careful what you wish for". The USMC fitness report is five pages in length (*please refer to Appendix A*) and could become an administrative nightmare. The detail and depth is astonishing, having spent untold hours writing, rewriting, correcting, and teaching a new reporting system in the Navy. The learning curve and the time and commitment that will be required for the USMC is hard to imagine. Following is a discussion of the possible strengths and weaknesses of MCINST P1610.7E and details of a few highlights.

The scope of the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation System (PES) is to provide for the periodic reporting, recording, and analysis of the performance and the professional character of Marines in the grades of sergeant through major general. The fundamental concepts are accuracy, accountability, simplicity, and consistency of policy and evaluation methods. Achieving these concepts requires standardization of the evaluation chain, supervision throughout the system, and the education of all participants in the system. Reporting seniors document their observations and assessments of the performance and character of a Marine on the USMC Fitness Report. The fitness report is neither a communication to, nor a counseling document for, the Marine.

The primary purpose of the PES is to support the centralized selection, promotion, and retention of the most qualified Marines of the Active and Reserve Components. Secondarily, the PES aids in the assignment of personnel and supports other personnel management decisions.<sup>1</sup>

The immediate objective of the PES is for a credible and accurate recording of the history of an individual's performance. To achieve these goals, the PES must accomplish and adhere to the following objectives: (1) The accuracy of the evaluation must reflect an assessment of performance of assigned duties and responsibilities against an understood set of requirements, individual capacity, and professional character. (2) Center on the individual's performance during a designated period of observation. (3) The Reporting Senior (RS) must report on fact and the reporting official's objective judgments, based on Marine Corps standards, not conjecture. The reporting senior also must ensure that the narrative portions of the evaluation are clear in their meaning and free of ambiguities and innuendoes.<sup>2</sup>

A primary goal of all the military services is to prevent and curb grade inflation. The USMC's drive to countering inflation begins with the reporting officials, specifically the Reporting Senior (RS) and Reviewing Officer (RO), who must accurately report a

Marine's performance. The design of the PES limits the ability of RS to unjustifiably or artificially inflate a Marine's performance. To abate inflation all reports must be based on a Marine's performance vice sociability. Reporting officials can inadvertently render these controls ineffective by preparing and submitting fitness reports that fail to adhere to both the letter and the spirit of the PES Manual.<sup>3</sup>

The Marine Corps Commandant's guidance and the significance of the PES and Fitness report is eloquently spelled out in this quote,

"The completed fitness report is the most important information component in manpower management. It is the primary means of evaluating a Marine's performance. The fitness report is the Commandant's primary tool available for the selection of personnel for promotion, retention, augmentation, resident schooling, command, and duty assignments. Therefore, the completion of this report is one of an officer's most critical responsibilities. Inherent in this duty is the commitment of each reporting senior and reviewing officer to ensure the integrity of the system by close attention to accurate marking and timely reporting. Every officer serves a role in the scrupulous maintenance of this evaluation system, ultimately important to both the individual and the Marine Corps. Inflationary markings only serve to dilute the actual value of each report, rendering the fitness report ineffective. Reviewing officials will not concur with inflated reports." 4

There are several additional key concepts that need to be brought out. While these ideas are not unique to the USMC, perhaps they do take the issues to a higher level of a minimum requirement.

The fairness of the fitness report requires commitment; this report is a communication between reporting officials and the Commandant of the Marine Corps (CMC). Reporting officials must provide fair and thorough evaluations. Reviewing officers and commanders must take active roles in mentoring and communicating when an RS has not adhered to the spirit and intent of the PES manual. Influence or pressure by Reviewing Officers (ROs) or commanders to modify fitness report marks or

comments is unacceptable, except to ensure that reporting officials adhere to Marine Corps policy.<sup>5</sup>

The focus of the fitness report is a documentation of observations and assessments of individual performance, personal qualities, character, and potential to serve at a more senior level. The fitness report is not a disciplinary tool, a lever to exert influence, but is a professional counseling document for the Marine Reported On (MRO).

Reporting seniors must evaluate missions, duties, tasks, and standards as communicated by the RS to the MRO. They must measure Marines against known Marine Corps values and soldierly virtues, not against a personal set of precepts and unreasonable expectations.<sup>6</sup>

Professional ethics are the cornerstone and constitute one of the foundations of the PES. Reporting officials must preserve the high standards of Marine Corps integrity and moral courage. Personal biases have absolutely no place in the process.

The Marine Corps brings forth an issue that all services need to take a lesson from, avoiding the zero defects mentality. Reporting officials must consider that Marines develop by having the latitude to make mistakes.<sup>7</sup> Every reporting official must encourage initiative, aggressiveness, creativity, courage, and development of warfighting skills and not dampen them by fear of making mistakes. Attaining perfection certainly is a legitimate goal, but rarely is it a reality. The realistic goal is to experience, learn, and grow professionally.

Another important area that the USMC and the PES system stress is the Counseling Program.<sup>8</sup> Leaders throughout the USMC are to counsel Marines to transmit the guidance, performance standards, and direction important for the Member's Reported On

(MRO's) success and continued development. The complementary relationship between the counseling process and the PES begins when the RS and MRO meet to develop the MRO's billet description. It should become a regular and continuous process with additional sessions to review billet descriptions, establish new goals, and develop performance. As a result, the performance evaluation process should not produce any surprises for the MRO. Periodic performance evaluation can also help clarify the subjects on which the counseling process should focus. Any counseling program, which relies on final evaluations as a tool to force behavioral changes, is without merit and must be avoided. The PES highlights past performance and counseling shapes future performance. Again the Marine Corps places high emphasis that the fitness report is not a counseling tool.

The fitness report is an extremely detailed report. It is five grueling pages in length, and is unique in the military evaluation system because it is used for Sergeant (E-4) through Sergeant Major (E-9), Warrant Officer one through five, and Second Lieutenant (O-1) through Colonel (O-6). A single document used for the vast majority of the enlisted and officer personnel in the entire Marine Corps. To give such a wide range of personnel the same performance evaluation is such a paradigm shift from what the military services have done in the past, that the next few years for the Marine Corps will be very interesting, perhaps even enlightening, and could lead the way for the other services in a new performance standard and fitness reporting system.

In the document, Block A is the general administrative data and there are some unique areas of interest. In the special information area (block A.8) is the rifle and pistol qualifications. Every Marine is too have qualified at some level from "Distinguished" to

"Marksman" during that reporting period. If for some reason a Marine did not qualify, there is a possibility that this could be an adverse report. If that Marine tried his/her best and goes unqualified, an adverse report is not merited. The USMC takes its weapons training very serious. The evaluation requires the reporting of the Physical Readiness Training (PRT) status, but also includes a first, second, and third class level and a corresponding point score, the sister services only show a pass / fail criteria. Pandemonium might ensue if the services reported on the vast majority of our military PRT scores and showed how each individual ranked on a point total. Many personnel would likely cry foul. Another valuable idea in the fitness report is the block that lists the top three-duty preference for the MRO.

Blocks B (billet description) and Block C (billet accomplishments) is perhaps the most descriptive of any of the sister services. Billet description (block B) is the section of the fitness report that provides the reporting senior an opportunity to describe the scope of duties that form the basis for evaluating the MRO during the reporting period. This description puts the "flesh to the bone" of Duty Assignment in section A (administrative data). The billet description should not restate the contents of Mission Occupation Specialty (MOS) Manual; it should highlight for the reader of the report the nature of the billet and the MRO's significant responsibilities as they relate to the accomplishment of his or her unit's or organization's mission during the reporting period. At a minimum, the RS should describe those duties and responsibilities considered most important; it should frame the RS's expectation of the MRO. The billet description must focus on acceptable standards vice goals.<sup>12</sup>

While billet description (Block B) concentrates on the MRO's assigned duties, the focus in billet accomplishments (Block C) is on what the MRO accomplished, the results, and achievements. The design is to highlight the MRO's actions that the RS considers most significant for the reporting period. Block C data is to complement the information contained in block B by providing an accurate account of exactly what the Marine accomplished in that billet. The RS needs to be objective rather than qualitative in nature and list only the results and achievements themselves and avoid all reference to personal qualities or potential impact of the MRO's contributions. The MC uses almost half a page to report on these two very important topics and melds results into an understandable format.<sup>13</sup>

The meat of the Marine Corps fitness report is the actual grading criteria of the individual. This section is three of the five pages of the fitness report and is the most detailed breakdown of an evaluation portion for an individual of any of the sister services.

The fitness report describes the "whole Marine" both on and off duty. The design is to build a picture that goes beyond the MRO's assigned duties and what the Marine accomplished; it also records the manner in which the individual discharged those duties and responsibilities. Sections D, E, F, and G comprise 13 attributes that give the RS a broad cross section of areas to evaluate the MRO that the Marine Corps deems most important. This report divides 13 attributes into four sections:<sup>14</sup>

- 1. Mission Accomplishment.
- 2. Individual Character.
- 3. Leadership.
- 4. Intellect and Wisdom.

Collectively, these attributes provide a very clear picture of the Marine's demonstrated capacities, abilities, and character. To keep the length of this discussion to a manageable level, the following discussion will concentrate on the Leadership section in detail. First it is important to understand the marking and grading procedures and philosophy to the corresponding letter grade.

The grades start alphabetically at "A" and continue through "G". The "A" grade is the low end of the spectrum and is considered unacceptable performance. An "A" in any of the attributes constitutes an unsatisfactory marking and renders the entire report adverse. 15 A single event or action could be significant enough to support an unsatisfactory marking. The RS must specifically address the unacceptable performance or deficiency and the conditions under which it occurred in the "Justification" space provided in the section. While on the other end of the attribute spectrum the scaled measures "F" and "G" describe exceptional, sustained performance throughout the reporting period. It should be noted that rarely could isolated incidents, of themselves, merit a marking in either of these blocks. Members marked under "G" should reflect a truly extraordinary level of performance rarely observed, the few, truly extraordinary Marines observed during the course of one's career. This mark demands significant justification to support such a determination. All "A," "F," or "G" marks require written justification in the block provided in each section. The RS is not required nor encouraged to justify "B," "C," "D," or "E" marks. 16

All Marines grow personally and professionally, but each does so within the bounds of personal ability. The Marine, by individual performance over the course of a career, develops a record on his or her own merits. Few Marines can excel in all aspects of their

duties. A Marine, who displayed decisiveness in one billet, may display hesitancy in another. Only accurate evaluations of each case in the context of time and circumstance will provide the CMC a clear picture of an individual. A Marine for whom an RS can find no deficiencies in a given area is not, by definition or default, a Marine deserving an "F" or "G" marking; the MRO may well be a solid, commendable "B" or "C". Additionally, the purpose of Sections D (mission accomplishment), E (individual character), F (leadership), and G (intellect and wisdom) is not to find fault, nor is it to inflate competent individuals, but to objectively evaluate Marines on their own merits. Reporting seniors must not unjustifiably inflate performance. The CMC will scrutinize RS's grading histories and return noncompliance reports. 17

Leadership can be thought of as the force that drives all military organizations. Leaders at all levels are essential to mission accomplishment. Identifying effective leaders is a primary goal of the USMC fitness report. The leadership section focuses on the most important aspects of leadership. Each evaluated area provides information that gives a more comprehensive picture of the individual's effectiveness as a leader. The overall view provides an understanding of the individual's leadership style. The leadership section alone is divided into five attributes:

- 1. Leading Subordinates.
- 2. Developing Subordinates.
- 3. Setting the Example.
- 4. Ensuring Well-Being of Subordinates
- 5. Communication Skills

Appendix B is the leadership section from the USMC instruction. The magnitude and detail of the section demonstrates the impressiveness of the science and art to which the USMC has taken the fitness report. It is the author's contention that the only way to demonstrate to the reader the magnitude and detail of this section is to pull out the

corresponding appendix from this paper and the USMC instruction. Remember, the leadership section is but one of four sections with a total of 13 subdivided attributes.<sup>19</sup>

After studying this section, the author has mixed emotions on how he might fair with an honest appraisal from a senior officer. At the very least it would be an eye opener and I'm sure there would be room for improvement. An officer or enlisted man who could consistently score in the higher (D's and E's), not just the highest range (F's and G's), without grade inflation, is a military professional I would follow into any situation or battle.

The pariah of every evaluation and appraisal system used by all the military and civilian institutions throughout time is grade inflation. How do we curve it at the start, during the creeping up phase when everyone tries and learns to game the system, and when the system has been so manipulated that the final product has little or no value. The Marine Corps appear to have developed a positive and fair control system. It is human nature that everyone who writes an evaluation on an individual is inherently worried about ensuring that his personnel get the best results and promotions. Therefore a grading creep begins and everyone learns how to write and grade an evaluation higher and higher to ensure that their personnel get the best product and have an advantage over anyone else who does not work in his chain of command.

When the large percentage start to initiate the grade creep phenomenon and when the small percentage do their best to follow the rules and guidelines, the small percentage could ultimately hurt their subordinates. Usually this takes just one round of reports and results to get back to the senior before he also joins the ranks of inflating evaluations. A vicious cycle that seems impossible to break, unless you build into your fitness reporting

system a strong set of checks and balances. The Marine corps have developed such a checks and balances method in Block H (fulfillment of evaluation responsibilities) (Appendix C). The purpose of block "H" is to accomplishing the objectives of the PES by add a rating check for the drafter of the report on his own fitness report.<sup>20</sup> This check is designed to ensure that the provisions of the evaluation manual are adhered to and is the responsibility of every reporting official to measures the level to which the reporting officials fulfill their responsibilities and establishes a direct method of ensuring that reporting officials accomplish the objectives of the PES by evaluating their efforts to submit accurate, timely, and uninflated evaluations. In addition section "H" is a single, stand-alone, evaluated area, highlighting the importance of accurate, uninflated, and timely reporting by that individual while he was acting as a reporting officer.

The scaled measurements of appendix C allow little tolerance for submitting or forwarding inflated reports. One instance as either an RS or RO can result in a mark of "B." The scale does allow some tolerance for submitting or forwarding administratively incorrect reports. For example, a Marine could have a "few" reports returned from either the RO or HQMC for administrative errors and still receive a mark of "D" but depending on the context a "C" mark might be more appropriate. A MRO (evaluated as an RS) whose reports exhibit flagrant inflation, multiple administrative errors, severe untimeliness, or any combination thereof could warrant a mark of "A". This grade mark would make the report adverse. Now, how is this for checks and balances, actually grade a MRO on how he writes and grades his subordinates, while acting as a reporting officer. The ultimate in curbing grade inflation and producing a correct document from the start.

One last subject area of the report to discuss is Block K (reviewing officer's comments). Block K formalizes the RO's involvement in the PES. It requires the RO to certify the administrative correctness of the report. In addition the reviewing officer is to characterize his or her level of observation of the MRO. No hard guidelines on what constitutes sufficient knowledge and observation are detailed in the instruction. In some circumstances an RO gets to know a great deal about an MRO in an extremely short time and in other cases, the RO may never gain sufficient observation regardless of how long both serve in the same command.

Another checks and balance approach that the USMC has built into the fitness report is a block for the reviewing officer to address whether the RO has sufficiently observed the MRO, concur or nonconcur with the RS's evaluation, compare the MRO's professional abilities and potential to other Marines of the same grade whose professional abilities are known to the RO, and comment concerning the MRO's potential. The comparative assessment (block K.3) provides the RO an opportunity to compare the MRO to all Marines (both past and present) of the same grade whose professional abilities are known to the RO. The RO must focus on the MRO's potential, consider all the MRO's attributes and weigh the MRO's performance as an indicator of future potential for service at more senior positions.

Now think about this phrase, "compare the MRO to *all* Marines (both past and present) of the same grade whose professional abilities are known to the RO", the reviewing officer is to compare the MRO to anyone past and present, that is a tall order and could possibly be a mighty cast of professional military officers to be stacked against. Please note the description portion of the comparative assessment, the reviewing

officer has eight possible blocks to mark what will describe where the MRO falls in how the RO feels that the individual is qualified and to what level. The least qualified level is of course the "unsatisfactory" block, followed by "a qualified Marine", "one of the many highly professionals who from the majority of this grade", here there are three levels to choose from, this option allows the reviewing officer more flexibility in his choices.<sup>22</sup> Next block is "one of the few exceptional qualified Marines", with two levels and the highest level "the eminently qualified Marine". 23 There appears to be no controls embedded into the fitness report that governs the RO's ability to mark the MRO's. Example, if the RO is reviewing 20 officers, he could possibly mark each officer "the eminently qualified Marine", taking into account the intent of this entire Marine Corps instruction and the emphasis placed on each person in the chain of command, to dispatch any consideration of grade inflation, the ethical integrity of each Marine, and his professional military bearing, a Marine Officer probably will not have any difficulty in doing his military duty any different on this fitness report; anymore then he would have any difficulty on a battlefield.

#### **Notes**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> US Marine Corps Officer Instruction MCO P1610.7E. Performance Evaluation System, 08 December 1998, 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ibid., 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ibid., 1-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ibid., 1-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ibid., 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ibid., 4-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ibid., 4-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Ibid., 4-22.

# Notes

- <sup>14</sup> Ibid., 4-24.
  <sup>15</sup> Ibid., 4-26.
  <sup>16</sup> Ibid., 4-25.
  <sup>17</sup> Ibid., 4-25.
  <sup>18</sup> Ibid., 4-34.
  <sup>19</sup> Ibid., 4-24.
  <sup>20</sup> Ibid., 4-42.
  <sup>21</sup> Ibid., 4-43.
  <sup>22</sup> Ibid., 4-51.
  <sup>23</sup> Ibid., 4-52.

## **Chapter 2**

## United States Air Force, Officer Evaluation System.

A record will be kept in the War Department of the services, efficiency, and special qualifications of officers of the Army, including the condition of their commands and the percentages of desertion therefrom, and from further reports made for that purpose.

—Secretary of War Redfield Proctor, April 1890

The Air Force's officer evaluation system is perhaps the most convoluted and easiest manipulated evaluation system in all of the military services. An additional important characteristic between the Air Force's way of doing business and the other military services is that the Air Force has broken out its officer's into two groups for reporting purposes, Company Grade Officers (Second Lieutenants (O-1), First Lieutenants (O-2) and Captains (O-3)) and Field Grade Officers (Major (O-4), Lieutenant Colonel (O-5) and Colonel (O-6)) (note: this paper will discuss the O-1 (Second Lieutenant / Ensign) through O-6 (Colonel / Captain) levels and disregard Flag Officers O-7 through O-10 to keep the complexity of this project at a manageable level). The distinction that the Air Force has made is a valuable breakout tool and the other three services could find this concept of value.

Air Force Instruction 36-2402 is the service guidance that provides procedures for implementing the Air Force (AF) Evaluation Systems policy for the Officer Evaluation System (OES). It describes how to prepare, submit, and manage Air Force Forms 724A,

Field Grade Officer Performance Feedback Worksheet; 724B, Company Grade Officer Performance Feedback Worksheet; 707A, Field Grade Officer Performance Report; 707B,Company Grade Officer Performance Report; 475, Education/Training Report; 709, Promotion Recommendation; and 77, Supplemental Evaluation Sheet.<sup>25</sup>

#### **Performance Feedback Worksheet**

The Field Grade Officer Performance Feedback Worksheet (PFW) (Appendix D), AF Form 724A and Company Grade Officer Performance Feedback Worksheet; AF Form 724B are private communication worksheets between the rater (the first official in the rating chain serving in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee) and ratee (the officer being rated) and as such the comments may not be reviewed by anyone other than the officer or introduced in any personnel action unless first introduced by the ratee or the officer alleges he or she did not receive required feedback sessions or the sessions were inadequate. <sup>26</sup>

Raters use the Performance Feedback Worksheet (PFW) as a guide for the performance feedback sessions. These sessions and the form provide the means for a rater to tell a subordinate officer what is expected regarding duty performance and how well he or she is meeting those expectations. These documented feedback sessions do not replace informal day-to-day interaction and feedback and providing this information is designed to help an officer improve his or her performance and grow professionally. Additionally, he may use the completed form as he or she desires. It is recommended that the rater should keep a copy of the PFW to use in the preparation of the next Officer Performance Report (OPR) and subsequent feedback sessions.

The PFW is handwritten document prepared by the rater and is designed to be an informal counseling session to provide realistic feedback for the officer to discuss issues which both he and the rater see as important to that officer's ability to improve personally, professionally and to re-enforce those attributes which the rater considers his strengths.

The two key areas of information on the PFW are the block II, Key Duties, Tasks, and Responsibilities (Duty performance is a fill-in-the-blank area where the rater outlines specific duties (specialty and assignment)). These entries include the most important duties and correspond to the Officer Performance Report (OPR), block III, Job Description, and section IV, Impact on Mission. The Block III, Performance Feedback, which contain the six performance factors cover qualities and skills required of all officers and are the same as those listed on the Officer Performance Report (OPR). The PFW has a behavior scale within each. The rater marks, on the continuous scale from "Needs Significant Improvement" to "Needs Little Improvement," for each behavior that applies to the officer. If a particular behavior is not applicable to what the officer does, the rater writes "Not applicable (N/A)." The comment sections provide space for factual, helpful performance feedback so ratees can improve their duty performance or define their professional development goals. The provide space for factual development goals.

# Officer Performance Reporting (OPR)

The Air Force's Officer Performance Report (Appendix E) original intention was to have a valuable impact on an officer's evaluation throughout his career, but it has diminished in its overall impact to a level of little more than filling a check in the box.

The value added of the document seems to add little to the information on an officer's performance other than helping keep track his unit's missions and job description.

The Air Force Instruction 36-2402 (AFI36-2402) details the purpose of the OPR as a permanent record of an officer's performance over a specific period. The rater, who is also the person that is to write the OPR, will be the first official in the rating chain serving in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee. Date of rank is not a consideration, which simply means that a major (O-4) could be the rater for another major (O-4).<sup>29</sup> The rater has the responsibility to assess and document what the officer did, how well he or she did it, and the potential based on that performance. This is accomplished through an evaluation of Impact on Unit Mission, block IV; Performance Factors, block V; and Rater Overall Assessment in block VII.<sup>30</sup> The ratee is encouraged to provide the rater input on specific accomplishments. While it is true that the ratee is not to "draft" his own OPR, if that individual desires to have specific items stressed in his write up, then in real life a draft copy from the ratee to the rater would help the rater develop an OPR that will contain certain aspects that the ratee feels will be of value to him through his career.

The first important information other than general administrative data is the "Unit Mission Description" which provides a description of primary unit responsibilities (e.g., what it is, does, and to whom it is responsible), and is the same for all members of a unit (block II information). Block III (Job Description / Item 1: Duty Title / Item 2: Key Duties / Tasks / and Responsibilities) provides information about the position the ratee held in the unit and the nature/level of job responsibilities. The rater develops the information for this section. The description of key duties, tasks, and responsibilities (block III item 2) must reflect the uniqueness of each ratee's job and not be

standardized.<sup>31</sup> It must be specific to include, level to which responsible, number of people supervised, dollar value of resources accountable for / projects managed. The language is to be clear and understandable, avoid jargon, acronyms, and topical references--these obscure rather than clarify meaning. Significant additional duties related to mission accomplishment and previous jobs held during the period of the report, which impact on the evaluation maybe mentioned.

Perhaps the first area that takes on some consequence is "Impact on Mission Accomplishment", block IV. In this area of the OPR the rater is to use bullet format.<sup>32</sup> The rater addresses only primary duty responsibilities and tasks assigned to the ratee during the reporting period that contributed to, or detracted from, the unit mission. The rater may also address the ratee's ability to evaluate and develop subordinates here. This area should not include duties not directly related to mission accomplishment or civic involvement (unless dealing with the public was a primary duty). Promotion or other recommendations should not be made here. Each item entered must document a specific responsibility or task and result (what the ratee's done and how well he/she performed). Comments are allowed that discuss the impact of other jobs held or significant mission related additional duties.<sup>33</sup>

Now we reach the part of the OPR that has degraded to a point that it adds no value to this document, block V, Performance Factors. This section has six factors rated on a two-block scale. The six factors are Job knowledge, Leadership Skills, Professional Qualities, Organizational skills, Judgement and Decisions, and Communication Skills. Both the company and field grade reports have identical performance factors; there is a little additional rhetoric for the field grade officers to consider, which does add to the

validity of the grading scale. That is where the merit of block V, performance factors, ends. The rater is to choose between two performance scales, "Does Not Meet Standards" and "Meets Standards". All officers require these qualities in performance of duties regardless of specific job. The rater is to enter a handwritten X in the appropriate box for each factor after carefully evaluating the officer's performance and qualities. A "Does Not Meet Standards" rating or referral remark requires an explanation and is considered a derogatory performance report. In the military, a "No" answer may have career ending consequences. A rater must have documented proof that this individual has extremely limited value to the armed services and be prepared for a long administrative ordeal. The normal outcome of this ordeal will be to: (1) support your recommendations and actions up the chain of command, and (2) start possible discharge procedures.<sup>34</sup>

Block VI, rater overall assessment, and block VII, additional rater overall assessment allow evaluators to comment on the ratee's overall performance and performance-based potential as compared to others in the same grade known by the evaluators. Raters must also certify performance feedback in this area. Comments should be based on performance, not on other considerations, such as PME, academic education, duty history, etc. Promotion recommendations should be included; however, recommendations to select for a particular assignment, PME, augmentation, continuation, or indefinite reserve status are appropriate, as are remarks about community involvement and additional duties. Evaluators must consider Regular AF augmentation (applicable only to officers on active duty). Comments should be limited to the space provided unless the OPR is referral or adverse.<sup>35</sup> Block VI is the first area in the OPR that starts to be important to the promotion boards later in that individuals career, remembering that

the rater is the direct supervisor to the ratee and the rater is the individual that did the ratee's performance feedback earlier in the period of report.

This becomes important when considering block VII, Additional Rater Overall Assessment, the additional rater is the second official in the rating chain, after the rater, serving in a grade equal to or higher than the rater and in a grade higher than the ratee. A colonel may be the additional rater for a colonel. The additional rater carefully reviews the ratee's evaluation to ensure it is accurate, unbiased and uninflated. If he disagrees, then the additional rater may ask the originator to review his evaluation, but he cannot direct a change in the evaluation. If there is still disagreement with the rater, then he is to mark "Non-Concur" and explain in the comment section. This is the first step of two in the validation process. The additional rater and reviewer are the primary quality control level and guards against misstatement and exaggeration. Both the additional rater and reviewer indicates concurrence or non-concurrence with the rater by placing an "X" in the appropriate box.

The reviewer is the third or final evaluator on an Officer Performance Report. The reviewer also carefully reviews both rater's marks and comments. If he considers their evaluations accurate, unbiased and uninflated, then he "Concurs" with the report and marks the appropriate box. If the reviewer disagrees with the previous evaluators, he may request that they review their evaluations. The reviewer cannot direct them to change their appraisals. If the reviewer still cannot come to closure with the rater and / or the additional rater, then it is a "NonConcurence" report and he is to mark it as such and explain in block VIII. The reviewer on the OPR and senior rater on the Performance Recommendation Form (PRF) will occupy the same position.

#### **Promotion Recommendation Form**

Possibly one of the ultimate goals of an evaluation and appraisal system is to promote the correct personnel at the proper time in their careers. The Air Force evaluation system has chosen to have a stand-alone document that covers the subject of promotion and is the only military service that goes about this issue in such a manner.

The purpose of the PRF (Appendix F) is to provide a performance-based differentiation to assist central selection boards. To determine how the Air Force goes about this difficult task, it will be helpful to go through the promotion recommendation form and highlight the significant portions of the guiding instruction from the Air Force (AFI36-2402).

The most important person to have an impact on the PRF is the senior rater. The senior rater is the evaluator who completes the Performance Recommendation Form and also serves as the reviewer on the Officer Performance Report (OPR). Senior raters must be in a position to have personal knowledge or access to personal knowledge of the ratee's performance. They must also have the scope of responsibility and breadth of experience to assess performance and its significance as it relates to potential for promotion. The same senior rater normally evaluates all officers in an organization in a particular grade and promotion zone. For majors and below, the senior rater must be at least a colonel (or equivalent) serving as a wing commander or equivalent. For lieutenant colonels and colonels, the senior rater must be a general officer (or equivalent) and will be the first general officer in the rating chain. The senior rater develops his ratee's information and has the responsibility to review the ratee's record of performance (ROP) and Duty Qualification History Brief (DQHB), Personal Information File (PIF), and

Unfavorable Information File (UIF) before preparing the PRF. He may consider other reliable information about duty performance and conduct.

The senior rater will furnish the ratee one copy of the PRF notice to check for accuracy. Incorrect or questionable entries should be resolved with the Unit Personnel Office (UPO). An important and possibly difficult issue is that the senior rater must be knowledgeable of the ratee's most recent performance. Subordinate supervisors may provide information on an officer's most recent duty performance and performance-based potential, and may make suggestions based upon the officer's duty performance for PRF recommendations. No officer should be asked to draft or prepare his or her own PRF. Additionally, there will be no boards or panels of officers convened to collectively score, rate, rank, or tally the records and/or generate a priority list of eligible officers unless specifically authorized by AFI36-2402. The senior rater is solely responsible for evaluating each officer's ROP and DQHB, and for either awarding PRF recommendations among officers or submitting officers to compete for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. The senior rater submits the PRF with section IX (overall recommendation block) unmarked when submitting an officer for competition in aggregation or carry-over categories at a Management Level review (MLR) and/or HQ USAF review.

The senior rater completes promotion recommendations and corrects any error that results in awarding more "Definitely Promote" recommendations than allocated by the Management Level (ML). However, if he or she fails to fulfill this responsibility, the review president makes the appropriate corrections to include reaccomplishing PRF's a senior rater prepared. The senior rater is to provide the ratee a copy of the PRF

approximately 30 days before the central selection board (CSB). PRFs are a private matter between the senior rater and the ratee. Subordinate evaluators may have access to a PRF rating to assist in the feedback process only if desired by the ratee. The senior rater must attach a memo telling the ratee who receives a PRF with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation that he or she has the right to submit a letter to the Central selection Board (CSB). In addition, the senior rater will provide the PRF to the ratee either by hand or by sending it in a sealed envelope marked, "To Be Opened By Addressee Only." 36

A "Definitely Promote" recommendation says the strength of the ratee's performance, and performance-based potential alone warrants a promotion. A "Promote" recommendation says the ratee is qualified for promotion and should compete on the basis of performance, performance-based potential and broader considerations such as duty history, PME, advanced degrees, etc. A "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation says the ratee does not warrant promotion on the central selection board for which the PRF is being prepared. A "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation is considered adverse and could require considerable documentation from the senior rater to get support from the chain of command and administrative chain also.<sup>37</sup>

A moderately difficult concept is the allocation process to develop the number of "Definitely Promotes" (DP). DP recommendations are limited in number to ensure that only the best qualified records are endorsed and send a strong signal to the CSB that this officer is ready for immediate promotion. DP allocation rates for "In the Promotion Zone" (IPZ) and "Above the Promotion Zone" (APZ) officers are lower than the IPZ promotion opportunity; this ensures a significant number of officers receiving "Promote"

recommendations will be promoted. Management Levels (MLs) receive a share of DP allocations based on the number of IPZ or Below the Promotion Zone (BPZ) officers assigned. Allocation rates vary for each competitive category; grade and promotion zone may fluctuate according to changes in the promotion opportunity to guarantee the minimum promotion rate for eligibles receiving a "Promote" recommendation (P-Rate). Allocation rates for BPZ officers are higher than the BPZ promotion opportunity to ensure all senior raters have the same opportunity to nominate their most deserving officers for an early promotion with the limited number of BPZ promotions available. HQ AFPC/DPPPEB publicizes rates for each PRF cycle.<sup>38</sup>

To determine Line of the Air Force Allocations, MLs determine the number of "DP" allocations they have by applying the appropriate allocation rate (developed by HQ/AFPC/DPPEB) to their IPZ or BPZ eligibles. Round up fractions to the next whole number, e.g. if a ML has 262 BPZ eligibles and the allocation rate is 10%, <sup>39</sup> the ML earns 27 DP allocations (262 BPZ eligibles x 10% allocation rate = 26.2 which rounds up to 27 allocations).

To determine Senior Rater Allocations you follow essentially the same guidelines as the ML and in addition a minimum group size for one "Definitely Promote" allocation is three eligibles. MLs determine each senior rater's share of allocations in the same manner as discussed above, except instead of rounding up, senior raters round down. For example, a 55% allocation rate applied to a senior rater's 10 IPZ captains would yield 5 DP allocations (10 IPZ eligibles x 55% allocation rate = 5.5 which rounds down to 5 allocations).

A senior raters has the option to return earned allocations to the ML if they believe the quality of officers in their unit does not warrant the full share of allocations. MLs redistribute all returned allocations.

Since allocations are rounded down when applying the allocation rate to a senior rater's eligible population, there are normally fractions of allocations remaining. These fractions accrue at the ML and result in allocations called carry-over DP allocations. Carry-over allocations (and any returned allocations) are awarded to account for variations of quality within organizations under the ML.

With the returned allocations and carry over senior raters without the minimum number of I/APZ officers assigned may compete for DP recommendations through a process known as aggregation. Grouping of all such officers and the application of the allocation rate yields, after rounding down, the number of DP allocations available to officers competing in aggregation. Senior raters without the minimum numbers of BPZ officers assigned to earn an allocation aggregate their officers to the next higher senior rater in the rating chain until the number of eligibles is large enough to earn at least one allocation. This is quite a process to develop your DPs, but it does perhaps develop the largest number possible given the restrictions imposed by the AFI.<sup>40</sup>

In the PRF, Block II, unit mission description, and block III, job description, to include the sections on duty title and key duties, tasks, responsibilities are to be filled out in the same manner as the corresponding blocks on the OPR and using the same verbiage. Block IV, Promotion Recommendation, is filled in by the senior rater to explain why the officer should or should not be promoted.<sup>41</sup> This section covers the entire Record of Performance and provides key performance factors from the officer's entire career, not

just recent performance. Comments are limited to the next higher grade. For officers eligible for promotion to the grades of colonel and below, comments on BPZ "Promote" PRFs are optional and comments on all I/APZ PRFs are mandatory. A key point of interest is the comment "This section covers the entire Record of Performance and provides key performance factors from the officer's entire career, not just recent performance."42 To look at a ratee's entire career and make a PRF recommendation is perhaps reaching a bit, because a senior rater's ability to know that individual over entire career is very limited.

#### **Notes**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Air Force Instruction 36-2402, Officer Evaluation System, Washington, D.C., 01 July 1996, 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Ibid., 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Ibid., 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Ibid., 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Ibid., 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ibid., 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Ibid., 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Ibid., 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Ibid., 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Ibid., 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Ibid., 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Ibid., 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Ibid., 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Ibid., 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Ibid., 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Ibid., 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Ibid., 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Ibid., 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Ibid., 58.

#### **Chapter 3**

## **United States Army, Officer Evaluation Reporting System**

It is impossible to think of a man of any actual force and originality, universally recognized as having those qualities, who spent his whole life appraising and describing the work of other men.

—H.L. Mencken

Having written at length about the United States Marine Corps and the United States Air Force officer evaluation systems, one theme is constant, their purpose is the same throughout the military institutions, have common goals, and each service does do business in similar ways. My intention is to discuss the two parent services, the Army and Navy, in an abbreviated fashion and basically discuss what I have found to be their strengths and possible weaknesses.

The strongest section of the Army's officer evaluation reporting system when compared to it's sister services has to be the Officer Evaluation Report (OER) support form (DA Form 67-9-1) (Appendix G). The Army does the best job out of the four services on counseling and mentoring of its officers.

The primary propose of the OER support form is to promote a top down emphasis on leadership communication, integrating rated officer (the officer to be reported on) participation in objective setting, performance counseling, and evaluation. At the beginning of the rating period, enhanced planning and relating performance to mission through joint rater (officer supervisor) and rated officer discussion of the duty description

and major performance objectives. During the rating period, the Army encourages performance counseling with the best use of individual talent by continuous conununication to update and revise the performance objectives. At the beginning of rating period or shortly after the rated officer assumes duties, the rater provides him with copies of the rater's and senior rater's most recent support forms. The rated officer then drafts his own OER support form paying particular attention to duty description and major performance objectives. Within the first 30 days the rater conducts the initial face-to-face counseling with the rated officer, and approves the duty description and major performance objectives.<sup>43</sup>

When the initial face-to-face discussion is completed, the rated officer dates and initials in Part III (verification of face to face discussion) of the support form. The rater also initials (Part III) and forwards the support form to the senior rater, normally the Commanding Officer or at a minimum an officer in his chain of command two ranks above the rated officer. The senior rater reviews and initials in Part III, and returns it to the rater. The rater retains a copy and returns the original to the rated officer. During the rating period or period of report, the rated officer uses the support form as a performance guide. The rater conducts mandatory quarterly performance developmental counseling with the rated officer and makes adjustments to performance objectives on the support form, if required.<sup>44</sup>

The support form communication process is characterized by initial and follow-up face-to-face counseling between the rater and the rated officer. The initial face-to-face counseling assists in developing the elements of the rated officer's duty description, responsibilities and performance objectives. The follow up counseling enhances mission

related planning, assessment, and performance development. Through the communication process, the rated officer is made aware of the specific nature of his duty and may influence the decision on what should be accomplished. The rated officer is better able to direct and develop his own subordinates, plan for attaining the mission, gain valuable information about the organization, and find better ways to accomplish the mission. Using performance objectives as the basis for leadership communication enables the rater and rated officer to identify the rated officer's most important tasks, priorities, and major areas of concern and responsibility.

Upon completion of each periodic counseling, the rated officer dates/initials in Part III and the rater initials in Part III. The senior rater then reviews and initials in Part III, and returns it to the rater. The rater retains a copy and returns the original to the rated officer. At the end of the rating period, the rated officer should look back over the entire evaluation period to determine the most significant objectives and contributions in the preparation of the final support form. The rated officer completes the support form, in the significant contribution portion (Part IVc), and forwards to rater. The rater uses the support form as input for the OER; writes comments to the senior rater in raters comments (Part Va) signs the form; and forwards support form and OER to the senior rater. The senior rater uses support form as OER input and returns support form to rated officer. 45

The check that the Army uses to ensure that the counseling and support forms are being used by its officer corps is on the OER (Appendix H) document. Under part IV, performance evaluation, block d, is a yes / no block that asks a simple question, "Were developmental tasks recorded on officer evaluation support form and quarterly follow-up

counselings conducted?" As a rater of officers subordinate in your chain of command and on your own OER and your senior rater (commanding officer) were to mark the no box, that could become an adverse fitness report for you and quite possibly be an end to your career. That is a considerable enforcement tool and one that no professional military officer could possibly ignore.

While it my opinion that the Army counseling and mentoring system is the strongest of the four services, there is an area of weakness that needs to be discussed. The army's OER is an overall sound evaluation process, but under part IV (performance evaluation) there are 23 traits that are graded on a yes or no criteria. While this makes the OER easier to fill out, there is little ability to breakout the truly outstanding or document the officers that just barely make the grade. Using a grading scale comparable to the US Marine Corps or the Navy would be advisable. Also, I would recommend that the Army put percentage caps on the following areas to help curb promotion and grade inflation, Part Va (performance and potential evaluation), and Part VIIa (evaluate the rated officer's promotion potential to the next higher grade).

#### **Notes**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Army Regulation 623-105, *Officer Evaluation Reporting System.* Washington, D.C., 01 October 1997, 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Ibid., 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Ibid., 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Ibid., 17.

## **Chapter 4**

## United States Navy, Performance Evaluation and Counseling System

Data is what distinguishes the dilettante from the artist.

—George V. Higgins

This chapter provides an overview of the Navy performance evaluation and counseling system. It is a relatively new reporting system, coming on line 01 January 1996. The system employs a Fitness Report and Counseling Record (FITREP) (Appendix I) for chief petty officers and officers (E7-O6); this is a break from the other sister services except for the Marine Corps. The Air Force breaks its officers into categories, company grade (O-1 to O-3) and field grade (O-4 to O-6) officers and uses two similar Officer Performance Reports (OPRs), where leadership traits are emphasized for the field grade officers. The Marine Corps use the same report for it Sergeants (E-4) to Colonels (O-6) and the Army uses just one form for its officers.

The Navy places great emphasis on the promotion from Petty Officer First-Class (E-6) to Chief Petty Officer (E-7) and the chiefs leadership role. Including not just a change in uniforms from the enlisted blue to the chief's and officers' khaki, but also using the same FITNESS report for chiefs and officers. This distinction is not important for this paper, nor will it be discussed further. This just another example of how the military can take the same programs and solve it in different ways.

The FITREP has seven performance traits and each are graded on a five-point scale, from 1.0 (lowest) to 5.0 (highest), using performance standards printed on the FITREP forms. These seven graded traits are:

- 1. Professional Expertise: Professional knowledge, proficiency, and qualifications.
- 2. Equal Opportunity: Fairness, respect for human worth.
- 3. Military Bearing / Character: Appearance, conduct, physical fitness, and adherence to Navy Core Values.
- 4. Teamwork: Contributions to team building and team results.
- 5. Mission Accomplishment and Initiative: Taking the initiative, planning, prioritizing, and achieving missions.
- 6. Leadership: Organizing, motivating and developing others to accomplish goals.
- 7. Tactical Performance: (Warfare qualified officers only) Basic and tactical employment of weapons systems.<sup>47</sup>

The meanings of the trait grades are printed on the FITREP form, along with representative performance standards detailed above. The 5.0 grade is reserved for performance, which is far above standards, and is notable for its exemplary or leadership quality. The 1.0 grade means generally poor performance which is not improving, or unsatisfactory performance with respect to a single standard. For the majority of officers, most of the trait grades should be in the 2.0 to 4.0 range. Arbitrarily "two-blocking" the trait grades is detrimental for two reasons. First, the reporting senior's average trait grade will be available to detailers and selection boards for comparison purposes. Second, it will be difficult for the reporting senior to allocate promotion recommendations if everyone's trait grades are the same. An example would be 5.0 grade in Military Bearing/Character requires a physical readiness test (PRT) score of excellent or outstanding unless the member is waived or exempt. This block should not be graded 5.0, however, only because of PRT score. There are other important standards to consider, and most of them must rate a 5.0. If Military Bearing/Character is graded lower than 5.0, an excellent or outstanding PRT score should be mentioned in the comment section (block 41). Comments should be based on verifiable facts. The basis is to use input from the member and the member's immediate supervisor(s) as well as the raters' and reporting senior's personal observations.<sup>48</sup>

The 3.0 grade represents performance to full Navy standards, with higher grades reserved for performance, which significantly exceeds standards. All 1.0 and 5.0 grades must be specifically justified by comments. Comments on other trait grades are optional. The FITREP form provides a five step promotion recommendation scale: "Significant Problems," "Progressing," "Promotable," "Must Promote," and "Early Promote." "Early Promote" recommendations are based solely on performance, and do not require eligibility for early promotion; However there are, mandatory limits on the number of "Early Promote" recommendations. For the more senior officer, there are also limits on "Must Promote" recommendations. <sup>49</sup> These limits ensure a sufficient range of recommendations to make the reports useful to the promotion system. While there are no corresponding limits on trait grades, they are expected to be consistent with the promotion recommendations.

An important distinction in the Navy system to note is that the commanding officers is the reporting senior by virtue of their command authority and signs the vast majority of fitness reports. The development of the FITREP is a team effort. The objective is to develop a better evaluation than could be achieved by any single member of the team. The rater (drafter) and reporting senior must work together to ensure consistent interpretation and application of Navy standards. In some cases, reports can be developed in a single cooperative effort. Where a division of effort is required, the rater should first collect input from the member, primary and collateral duty supervisors,

command duty officer, etc. The rater will then review the member's performance, assign trait grades using the performance standards, propose career recommendations, and as a minimum, draft a justifying comment for each 1.0 and 5.0 grade. The senior rater (department head or executive officer) will review the rater's trait grades and career recommendations, expand the comments if necessary, and propose a promotion recommendation. The reporting senior will ensure that the FITREP standards have been respected, and will determine the final distribution of promotion recommendations within the member's summary group.

While the reporting senior can delegate signing authority to one of his subordinate (minimum of an O-4), this is usually only done on a large staff and the officer would normally be a Captain (O-6) or above.<sup>51</sup>

An integral aspect of the Navy's evaluation process is the counseling system.

Counseling methods are up to the commanding officer and is the CO's program.

Planned and scheduled counseling is a major focus of the Navy's performance evaluation system. Performance counseling should be provided at the midpoint of the periodic report cycle, and when the fitness report is signed. The counselor will be a supervisor who participates in the member's FITREP preparation. For the majority of officers the counselor will be the Commanding Officer (CO) or Executive Officer (XO), depending on the overall size of the wardroom (officer's mess). Commanding officers are to guide the counseling program and monitor counselor performance and results.<sup>52</sup> The purpose of performance counseling is to enhance professional growth, encourage personal development, and improve communication among all members within the command. It should be a frank, open discussion of individual performance, with

reference to the seven performance traits on the fitness report form. Feedback from the member is an important element of the counseling process. Feedback increases the member's and supervisor's understanding of the member's performance, allows the member to be involved in decision making, and assists in planning the actions required to implement the decisions.

The objectives are to provide feedback to the member, and to motivate and assist in his professional improvement. Performance counseling starts with a fair assessment of the member's performance and capabilities. It identifies the member's strengths and motivates their further improvement. It also addresses important weaknesses, but should not dwell on unimportant ones. It should avoid personality and concentrate on performance. The FITREP forms are used as counseling worksheets, and must be signed by the counselor and member. Counselors may use the tick marks next to each performance standard, assign tentative trait grades, and write comments.

It is important to understand that under no circumstances should a future promotion recommendation be promised during counseling. The reasoning behind this is the percentage caps on the "early promote" and "must promote" categories on the promotion recommendation (block 42) portion, and the dynamic nature of the total number of officers at the command throughout the reporting period. Unfulfilled promises of promotion could lead to possible motivation problems and even administrative action. Promotion recommendations should be consistent with the performance trait grades. The intention is not to make "Early Promote" and "Must Promote" recommendations merely because quotas are available, and do not recommend any member as "Promotable" who could not, if called on, currently perform the basic duties of the next higher grade.

Individuals should not automatically be place in the "Early Promote" category when they are evaluated singly. The same standards for trait grades and recommendations should be used as are used for other members of the command.

An area that the Navy uses as a promotion tool is the use of summary groups to block off officers of similar warfare specialties. Officer summary groups generally consist of all members in the same pay grade and same promotion status, who receive the same type of report from the same reporting senior on the same ending date, and are further subdivided by competitive category (e.g. unrestricted line officers, special duty-intelligence officers, Medical Corps officers, etc.). The officer summary group is a valuable tool, which enables promotion boards to review records of similar backgrounds and job descriptions.<sup>53</sup>

To close out this section on the Navy FITREP system, the last subject to discuss is perhaps the strongest grade inflation technique of any of the services. The Navy has developed a series of checks and balances that perhaps will get grade inflation under control.

Taking into account the seven performance traits that each officer is graded on and that each trait is assigned a numerical value from one to five, then it is an easy calculation to develop individual trait average for the reporting period. Two more calculations enter into the system. A summary group trait average is developed, which is simply the trait average of all the officers in the same summary group and reporting period averaged. The second data point developed is the reporting senior trait average, which is the total overall trait average of every officer in the same summary group that the reporting senior has developed a FITREP on, throughout his career. For example: a Captain has reporting

senior trait average of 3.24 and a summary group trait average of 3.32 as the officer reported on gets an individual trait average of 3.43, then by all standards that is a very solid report. The next command the Captain as the reporting senior has an overall trait average throughout his career of 4.45 and the summary group trait average is 4.50 and the officer reported on receives an individual trait average of 4.43.<sup>54</sup> Even though the individual trait average went up an astounding 1.0 overall, the promotion board will actually look at this FITREP as a possible decline in performance. With the development of a reporting senior's trait average, it is an advantage to actually work to keep that trait average lower to allow room to breakout the front runners. With the summary group trait average it is an easy comparison to see how an officer fits in the summary group for the reporting period.

#### **Notes**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Bureau of Naval Personnel Instruction 1610.10, *Navy Performance Evaluation and Counseling System.* Washington, D.C., 02 August 1995, A-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ibid., 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Ibid., 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Ibid., 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Ibid., 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Ibid., C-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Ibid., 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Ibid., Q-2.

## Chapter 5

## **Conclusions**

## US Marine Corps:

## Strengths:

- 1. The most in-depth and complete reporting system of all the services.
- 2. Has very strong checks and balances to fight grade inflation. Weakness:
- 1. Rating traits are assigned alphabetical designation, therefore it is difficult to analyze and report data in a useful format to promotion and administrative boards.
- 2. There is no percentage cap on the reviewing officer's comments for ranking the Marine Reported On (MRO).
- 3. The depth and five-page length could become an administrative nightmare.

#### Recommendations:

- Change the rating traits from an alphabetical designation to a numeric
  designation. This will enable the development of numeric data points that are
  easier for promotion and administrative board to understand. Follow the
  Navy's lead with grade trait averages and reporting senior's averages.
- Develop a percentage cap on the reviewing officer's comments that will
  ensure that a MRO receiving a description recommendation in the top
  performance boxes is under tight control. This will add creditability to the
  process.
- 3. Only time will tell just how much of an administrative requirement the USMC fitness report will be.

#### US Air Force:

## Strengths:

The breakout of its officers into company grade and field grade officer groups.
 The Army, Marine Corps, and Navy should follow this lead.

#### Weaknesses:

- The Officer Performance Report (OPR) has become a very weak document.
   The performance factors, Block V, are "does not meet standards" or "meets standards", essentially a yes or no criteria. There is no ability for a promotion board to make a break out of its officers. There is no promotion recommendation opportunity.
- 2. The Performance Recommendation Form (PRF) is a redundant document, administrative burden, and has little value to add to the officer evaluation system. The document is only of temporary value and is destroyed immediately after promotion boards.
- Develop the use of summary groups in the officer corps. The tradition of grouping all Air Force officers into a single promotion group is analogous to comparing apples to oranges.

#### Recommendations:

- 1. Combine the OPR and PRF into one document.
- Follow the Navy's lead and assign numerical values to the OPR's
   performance factors. This one change will enable the development of
   individual trait averages, summary group trait averages, and a reporting senior

- trait averages. This will enable the development of numeric data points that are easier for promotion and administrative boards to understand.
- 3. Develop a non-variable percentage cap on promotion recommendations and discontinue the practice of "below zone" and "in or above zone" recommendation procedures. Follow the other services example on this issue.
- 4. Develop the use of officer summary groups.

## US Army:

#### Strengths:

- The use of the Officer Evaluation Report (OER) support form is by far the strongest counseling, development of performance objectives, and documentation procedures for the officer corps of any of the services.
- 2. A built in grade inflation check in the form of a percentage cap in block VII part b (potential compared with officers senior rated in same grade).

#### Weaknesses:

- On the OER, the use of "Yes" or "No" criteria in the performance evaluation, block IV, there is no ability for a promotion board to make a break out of its officers.
- 2. No percentage cap for blocks V part a (performance and potential evaluation) and block VII part a (evaluate the rated officer's potential to next higher grade).

#### Recommendation:

1. Change the block IV, the rating traits from a yes / no designation to a numeric designation. This will enable the development of numeric data points that are

easier for promotion and administrative boards to understand. Follow the Navy's lead with grade trait averages and reporting senior's averages.

2. Develop a percentage cap for blocks V part a (performance and potential evaluation) and block VII part a (evaluate the rated officer's potential to next higher grade). This will help curb grade inflation and develop better data points for promotion boards.

## US Navy:

## Strengths:

- 1. A built in percentage cap on blocks 42 (promotion recommendations).
- 2. The use of a numeric scale of 1 to 5 in the performance traits (blocks 33 through 39). This enables the development of numeric data points that easier for promotion and administrative board to understand. The development of individual trait averages, summary group trait averages, and most important the reporting senior trait average.
- 3. A recent change in Navy policy is to allow ranking of individuals in the narrative section. This enables the Commanding Officer to breakout an individual when he is constrained by the percentage cap in the promotion recommendation block (Blk 42).

Weakness:

- The use of equal opportunity as one of the seven-grade trait averages. Block
   is the most abused and miss understood performance trait on the fitness report.
- 2. Officer counseling is weak.

## Recommendation:

- Remove block 34, equal opportunity and replace it with a more applicable subject area. An alternative recommendation would be a performance trait of "Intellect and Wisdom" with emphasis on Professional Military Education (PME), decision making ability, and judgment. The USMC's fitness report, block G, would be an outstanding template.
- Develop a stronger officer professional counseling methods; use the US Army's system as a guide.

# Appendix A

# Appendix A: United States Marine Corps, FITNESS Report (NAVMC 10835A, Rev. 1-99 (EF))

USMC FITNESS REPORT (1610) NAVMC 10835A (Rev. 1-99 (EF)) PREVIOUS EDITIONS WILL NOT BE USED							O NOT S'	
The completed fitness report is the most in performance and is the Commandant's programments. Therefore, the completion	important information	on compon election of	ent in perso	nnel for promotion	agement. It is the	ı, resident schoolir	ng, command	i, and duty
each Reporting Senior and Reviewing Of Every officer serves a role in the scrupul Inflationary markings only serve to dilute ti		f this eval ach repor	uation t. Rev	system, ultimate riewing Officers	ely important to b will not concur wi	oth the individual th inflated reports.	and the Mari	ne Corps.
A. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMA     Marine Reported On:						4.000		
a. Last Name	b. First Name	c. N		d. SSN	e. Grade	f. DOR	g. PMOS	h. BILMOS
2. Organization:								
a. MCC b. RUC c. Unit Description								
Occasion and Period Covered:	14	Duty Assi	nnmei	nt (descriptive tit	le).			
a. OCC b. From To	c. Type							
5. Special	6. Marine S	Subject Of				7. Recomme	nded For Pr	omotion:
a. Adverse b. Not Observed c. Exte		nendatory	b. D	aterial 🗀	Disciplinary Action	a. Yes	b. No	c. N/A
8. Special Information:			9. [	Outy Preference: a. Code b. Des	criptive Title			
a. QUAL d. HT(in.)	g. Reserve Component		1st					
b. PFT e. WT	h. Future Use		2nd					
c. Status f. Body Fat	i. Future Use		3rd					
10. Reporting Senior: a. Last Name	b. Init c. Service	d. SSN	-	e. Grad	e f. Duty A	Assignment		
11. Reviewing Officer: a. Last Name	b. Init c. Service	d. SSN		e. Grad	e f. Duty A	ssignment		
B. BILLET DESCRIPTION								
C. BILLET ACCOMPLISHMEN	тѕ							

	ast Name		b. First Name	c. MI	a.	SSN	a.OCC	b. From		То	
	MISSION ACCOMPLISE										
l. PE orma ewar	<b>RFORMANCE</b> Results achilly and informally assigned, w.d. Indicators are time and res	eved ere c ource	during the reporting period arried out. Reflects a Marie management, task prioriti	d. How w ne's aptitu zation, ar	ell thosoude, cor nd tenac	e duti npete city to	es inherent to a Mence, and commitre achieve positive e	farine's billet, plus nent to the unit's s ends consistently.	all additional o success above	duties pers	ional
ADV	Meets requirements of billet and additional duties. Aptitude, commitment, and competence meet expectations. Results maintain status quo.		Consistently produces qua measurably improving unit Habitually makes effective resources; improves billet products. Positive impact billet expectations.	use of fir	me and		opportunities. Er expert with influe significant: innova	ss expectations. In resources; creates nulated; sought affince beyond unit. In ative approaches to the gains in quality and the second control of the seco	ter as an Impact to problems		N/O
A	В	C	D			E		F		G	Н
. PR	OFICIENCY. Demonstrates perience. Translates skills in	techi	nical knowledge and practic	al skill in i	the exe	cution	n of the Marine's o	verall duties. Cor	mbines tra ining	g, edi	ucation
ADV	Competent. Possesses the requisite range of skills and knowledge commensurate with grade and experience. Understands and articulates basic functions related to mission		Demonstrates mastery of a skills. Expertise, education experience consistently en accomplishment. Innovativ troubleshooter and probler Effectively imparts skills to	all require n and hance mi ve m solver.	ed ission		True expert in fie far beyond those broad-based edu forward thinking, immeasurable im	ld. Knowledge ar of peers. Transla cation and experie innovative actions pact on mission Peer less teacher to subordinates, p	nd skills impact ates ence into s. Makes		N/O
A	B	'n	D			F		F		G	Н
	IFICATION:										
I. Ç( placin he m	NDIVIDUAL CHARACT DURAGE. Moral or physical s g conscience over competing ission or save others. The wii Demonstrates inner strength	trenç inter Il to p				xiety. Is, ov	Uncommon brave	ery and capacity to	overcome	ntabi	
1. CO placin the m	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sistin or save others. The will be monstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission	trenc inter Il to p	ath to overcome danger, fe- ests regardless of conseque- resevere despite uncertaint Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibi- face of adversity and uncer deterred by morally difficult hazardous responsibilities.	Ill actions. danger, its braver rtainty. N t situation	fear		Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selfle	ance of responsible or risk bodily harm ery and capacity to pire others in the freatening danger. der the most advess. Always place treests regardles aquences.	o overcome face of moral erse es conscience	ntabi	ility, plish N/O
1. CO placin the m	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sistin or save others. The will be monstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face	trenc inter Il to p	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibi face of adversity and uncel deterred by morally difficult	Ill actions. danger, its braver rtainty. N t situation	fear		Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selfle	ery and capacity to pire others in the f reatening danger. der the most adve ess. Always place	o overcome face of moral erse es conscience	ntabi ccom	
A A	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be produced by the properties of the produced by the pro	C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitace of adversity and uncerded by morally difficult hazardous responsibilities.	Il actions, danger, its braver rtainty. Not situation	fear, ry in the lot ns or	E U	Uncommon brave obstacles and insidemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selfle over competing in or personal const	ery and capacity to pire others in the I reatening danger. der the most advess. Always place attended the most advess. Always place atterests regardles expuences.	o overcome face of moral erse es conscience es of physical	G	N/O
ADV  ADV  ADV  August A	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be monstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomplishment.	C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitace of adversity and uncerdetered by morally difficult hazardous responsibilities.  D  Thinking, functioning and the situation, while displaying emotional strength, resilien Consistently demon strates mental agility, and willpowe periods of adversity. Province and proversity and proversity and proven and proversity and proversity and proversity.	Il actions. danger, danger, its brave ri trainty. N It situation  I leading en gesteady and en maturity, er des order	effective	E U	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated unconditions. Selfle over competing ir or personal conse der conditions of j ction, enabling on e elements. Demonstrates se mind under the n Stabilizes any sit	ery and capacity to priper others in the I reatening danger. duer the most advess. Always place terests regardles equences.  Full purpose of the properties	o overcome face of moral face of moral face of moral face of moral face of physical face of	G	N/O
ADV  ADV  ADV  August A	DURAGE. Moral or physical s g conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be provided by the prov	C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitace of adversity and uncer deterred by morally difficult hazardous responsibilities.  D  Thinking, functioning and the situation, while displaying emotional strength, resilient Consistently demon strates	Il actions. danger, danger, its brave ri trainty. N It situation  I leading en gesteady and en maturity, er des order	effective	E U	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selfla over competing in or personal constant of the conditions of a ction, enabling or elements.  Demonstrates se mind under the m Stabilizes any sit and timely application and immely application.	ery and capacity to priper others in the I reatening danger. duer the most advess. Always place terests regardles equences.  Full purpose of the properties	o overcome face of moral face of moral face of moral face of moral face of physical face of	G	H
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be provided by the provi	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitace of adversity and uncertainty of the provention of the control of the	Il actions danger, its braver retainty. Not a steading on the steading of the	effective purposendurant, r to ultion, lip.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selfa over competing in or personal constitution, enabling or elements.  Demonstrates se senind under the n Stabilizes any sit and timely applica personal presence and acting with the and acting with	ery and capacity to priper others in the I reatening danger. duer the most advess. Always place to treate stream of the most advess. Always place therests regardles equences.  Full content of the most advess and/or me e to inspire others (dom-matched prost demanding circustion of direction, fee.	o overcome face of moral pressure. So on physical ental pressure. So while continues encountered focus and focus and focus and focus and the instinct to be the face of the fa	G G	H D lead N/O
ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical sg conscience over competing sist on or save others. The will be monstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomplishment.    B	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitace of adversity and uncertainty of the provention of the control of the	Ill actions, danger, its braver, its situation of a g steady to and e a maturity, ear during des order tion of intuleadershers.	effective purposindurani r to juition, ip.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Self is over competing or personal constitution, enabling or elements.  Demonstrates we mind under the n Stabilizes any sit and timely application personal presence and acting with sive. Transformir Highly motivated exceptional awar environment. Ur	ery and capacity to pripe others in the I reatening danger. I der the most advess. Always place terests regardles equences.  Full physical and/or me e to inspire others dominated present demanding circustion through the stone of direction, file.  Full prompting, Though opportunity into and proactive. Dieness of surround canny ability to an ents and quickly fice entry sold groups of the cents and quickly file entry sold groups. Always and proactive. The cents and quickly file entry sold groups and proactive.	o overcome face of moral rerse rese is conscience is conscience is of physical ental pressure, is while continues ence of rounstances, e resolute focus and ental pressure. In the instinct to be action, is plays liftings and ticipate or mulate	G G	H D lead N/O
ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV  ABADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical s g conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be proposed the proposed of the propose	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitation of the provided and the control of the provided and the situation, while displaying mentional strength, resilien Consistently demonstrates mental agility, and willbowe periods of adversity. Providence strength, resilien Composure reassures other problem-solving skills, and Composure reassures other problem-solving skills, and composure reassures other problem-solving skills, and silling the problem-solving skills, and self-motivated and action-composure reassures other problems of the problem solving skills, and self-motivated and action-composure resistently into a Develops and pursues creations of the problems of the pr	Ill actions, danger, its braver, its situation of a g steady to and e a maturity, ear during des order tion of intuleadershers.	effective purposindurani r to juition, ip.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selff over competing in or personal considerations of personal present of the personal present of persona	ery and capacity to pripe others in the I reatening danger. I der the most advess. Always place terests regardles equences.  Full physical and/or me e to inspire others dominated present demanding circustion through the stone of direction, file.  Full prompting, Though opportunity into and proactive. Dieness of surround canny ability to an ents and quickly fice entry sold groups of the cents and quickly file entry sold groups. Always and proactive. The cents and quickly file entry sold groups and proactive.	o overcome face of moral rerse rese is conscience is conscience is of physical ental pressure, is while continues ence of rounstances, e resolute focus and ental pressure. In the instinct to be action, is plays liftings and ticipate or mulate	G G	H D lead N/O H D a task
ADV  A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	DURAGE. Moral or physical s g conscience over competing sison or save others. The will be provided by the prov	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Guided by conscience in a Proven ability to overcome difficulty or anxiety. Exhibitation of the provided and the control of the provided and the situation, while displaying mentional strength, resilien Consistently demonstrates mental agility, and willbowe periods of adversity. Providence strength, resilien Composure reassures other problem-solving skills, and Composure reassures other problem-solving skills, and silication of the problem-solving skills, and Self-motivated and action-of specific direction. Seeing sown accord. Being creat Self-motivated and action-of-oresight and energy consistents of the proportion o	Ill actions, danger, its braver, its situation of a g steady to and e a maturity, ear during des order tion of intuleadershers.	effective purposindurani r to juition, ip.	E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Uncommon braw obstacles and ins dilemma or life-th Demonstrated ur conditions. Selff over competing in or personal considerations of personal present of the personal present of persona	ery and capacity to priper others in the I reatening danger. I reatening danger. I reatening danger. Shaways place the most advess. Always place therests regardles equences.  Full physical and/or me e to inspire others others others demanding circustion through the thing opportunity into and proactive. Dieness of surround canny ability to an ents and quickly for eactions. Always a cation.	o overcome face of moral rerse rese is conscience is conscience is of physical ental pressure, is while continues ence of rounstances, e resolute focus and ental pressure. In the instinct to be action, is plays liftings and ticipate or mulate	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	H D lead N/O H D a task N/O

	_ast Name		b. First Name	c. MI d. S	SSN	a.OCC	ion and Period ( b. From		То	
1. LE	EADERSHIP ADING SUBORDINATES. T	he ins	separable relationship bety	veen leader and le	ed. T	The application of	leadership princ	iples to provide	directi	ion
and m motiva	notivate subordinates. Using a ation and morale while maxim	utho izing s	rity, persuasion, and perso subordinates' performance	onality to influence	sub	ordinàtes to acco	nplish assigned	tasks. Sustainir	ng	
ADV	Engaged: provides instructions and directs execution. Seeks to accomplish mission in ways that sustain motivation and morale. Actions contribute to unit effectiveness.		Achieves a highly effective between direction and de Effectively tasks subordin delineates standards experformance through con supervision. Fosters mot enhances morale. Builds teams that successfully mequirements. Encourage candor among subordinat	legation. ates and clearly ected. Enhances structive ivation and and sustains neet mission as initiative and		Promotes creative subordinates by direction and delevels of performencouraging individual subordinates to climitations. Perselevels of motivative mission accomplication of the country of	ity and energy a striking the ideal egation. Achiev ance from subor vidual initiative. ion, loyalty, and overcome their p on al leadership to on and morale, e shment even in ances.	mong I balance of es highest dinates by Engenders trust that allow erceived fosters highest ensuring the most		N/O
A	В	С	D		E		F.		G	Н
2. DE	EVELOPING SUBORDINATE er. Mentorship. Cultivating pr	<b>S</b> , C	commitment to train, educa	ate, and challenge	all M	larines regardless	of race, religion	, ethnic backgro	und,	or
ADV	ine teaching and coaching. C	reatin	g an atmosphere tolerant Develops and institutes in programs, to include PME personal and professions subordinates. Challenges exceed their perceived pc enhancing unit morale an Creates an environment vare confident to learn thre error. As a mentor, prepor for increased responsibilit	of mistakes in the innovative; that emphasize I development of a subordinates to itential thereby d effectiveness, where all Marines bugh trial and ares subordinates	cour	se of learning.  Widely recognize coach and leade serve with this M will grow person: Subordinate and expected results team building tall subordinate devextending beyon	d and emulated r. Any Marine warine because the ally and profession unit performance due to MRO's ments. Attitude to becoment is infec	as a teacher, ould desire to ney know they onally. the far surpassed ward		N/O
Α	В	С	D		Ē		F		G	曲
3. SE	ETTING THE EXAMPLE The	mos	t visible facet of leadership	: how well a Marin	ne se	rves as a role mo	del for all others	. Personal actio	n	
demo	nstrates the highest standard:	s of o	onduct, ethical behavior, fit	off duty reflects	ance	<ul> <li>Bearing, demea</li> </ul>	nor, and self-dis	scipline are elem	nents.	N/
	Maintains Marine Corps standards for appearance, weight, and uniform wear. Sustains required level of physical fitness. Adheres to the tenets of the Marine Corps core values.		highest Marine Corps stai integrity, bearing and app Character is exceptional. self-improvement in wide Dedication to duty and pr example encourage other	ndards of earance. Actively seeks -ranging areas.		Model Marine, fr conduct, behavio An inspiration to seniors. Remark self and others.	r, and actions ar subordinates, pe able dedication t	e tone-setting. eers, and o improving		
A	В	С	D		E		F		G	Н
<ol> <li>EN</li> </ol>	ICHDINIO WELL DEINIO OF (		DDINIATED O							
conce	entrate/focus on unit mission a	ccom	plishment. Concern for fa	erest in the well-be mily readiness is ir	eing nhere	of Marines. Effor ent. The importa	s enhance subc nce placed on w	ordinates' ability t elfare of subord	to inates	s is
	ASURING WELL-BEING OF 8 intrate/focus on unit mission at don the belief that Marines tal Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.		INDINATES centurie introphysical pishment. Concern for fae of their own.  Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subcactively fosters the develuses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishmenhance subordinate welf unit's ability to accomplish	sense of r Marines for ordinates. opment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to		Noticeably enhar resulting in a me- effectiveness. M resources to pro- support available energize unit me own, "thereby co- before they can leffectiveness. W	ces subordinate asurable increas aximizes unit an axide subordinate. Proactive approbers to "take crecting potentia inder subordina index subo	es' well-being, ee in unit d base s with the best roach serves to are of their I problems tes'		s is
	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively		Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subc Actively fosters the develouses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishm	sense of r Marines for ordinates. opment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to		Noticeably enhar	ces subordinate asurable increas aximizes unit an axide subordinate. Proactive approbers to "take crecting potentia inder subordina index subo	es' well-being, ee in unit d base s with the best roach serves to are of their I problems tes'		
ADV	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates' well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.	c	Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subdactively fosters the develouses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishm enhance subordinate welf unit's ability to accomplish	sense of r Marines for rrdinates. From the subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to are improve the its mission.	Ē	Noticeably enhar resulting in a mereffectiveness. M resources to pro support available energize unit me own, thereby co before they can the effectiveness. W and policies that morate. Builds signotto Mission fire	ices subordinate savrable increas aximizes unit an ide subordinate. Proactive appimbrers to "take c recting potentia inder subordina idely recognized produce results tong family atmost, Marines alwa	is' well-being, ie in unit d base, in unit d base swith the best roach serves to are of their l problems tes' to techniques and build osphere. Puts ys, into action.	G	N/O
ADV  A  Given given given giventur	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.    B	C ne efff as in leade	Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subdactively fosters the develouses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishm enhance subordinate welf unit's ability to accomplish	sense of r Marines for rodinates, poment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to are improve the lits mission.  eipt of thoughts ar ractive, allowing o py everyone. Allowell as counsel, is and ideas, promunication in which emorgies.	E did id id ne true suws su	Noticeably enhar resulting in a mereffectiveness. M resources to pro support available energize unit me own, thereby co before they can the effectiveness. W and policies that morate. Builds signotto Mission fire	ces subordinate sur able increas aximizes unit and increas aximizes unit an indressive appropriate and increasing a potential inder subordinate inder index propriate index in	is' well-being, ein until d base in unit d base in unit d base s with the best oach serves to are for their problems tes' if or techniques and build osphere. Puts ys, into action.  Lership. Equal ir s, provide concis issues and cor communication ents of the noe and verbal and achieve setting, situation situation situation.	G mportase	N/O
ADV  A  Given given given giventur	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.    B	C ne efff as in leade	Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subcatively fosters the develuses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishmenhance subordinate welf unit's ability to accomplish enhance subordinate welf unit's ability to accomplish a critical reading skills. Intea or sability to motivate as welf or sability to motivate as welf ability and in writing. Call forms is accurate, intel and timely. Communicate verve, ensuring understar verve, ensuring understar purpose. Encourages and	sense of r Marines for rodinates, poment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to are improve the lits mission.  eipt of thoughts ar ractive, allowing o py everyone. Allowell as counsel, is and ideas, promunication in which emorgies.	E did id id ne true suws su	Noticeably enhar resulting in a me- effectiveness. If resources to pro- support available energize unit me- effectiveness. Vand policies that morale. Builds si motto Mission fir.  eas that enable as perceive probler abordinates to as! Highly developed. Adept in composi- highest quality. Sills which enge understanding irro size of the dro-	ces subordinate sur able increas aximizes unit a morda saximizes unit an idd subordinate. Proactive appinhers to 'take companies' take companies of take companies preservations, raise facility in verbal gruntlen docur combines preservations, raise der confidence espective of the conditions of take companies of ta	is' well-being, ein until d base in unit d base in unit d base s with the best oach serves to are for their problems tes' if or techniques and build osphere. Puts ys, into action.  Lership. Equal ir s, provide concis issues and cor communication ents of the noe and verbal and achieve setting, situation situation situation.	G mportase	H ance
ADV  A  St. CC  given  aventur  A  A  A	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.    B	C ne efff	Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subcatively fosters the develouses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishmenhance subordinate well unit's ability to accomplish enhance subordinate well unit's ability to accomplish a critical reading skills. Intea of orm easily understood it a billy to motivate as w Clearly articulates though verbally and in writing. Call forms is accurate, intelligent and timely. Communicate verve, ensuring understangurpose. Encourages an contributions of others.	sense of r Marines for rodinates, poment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to are improve the lits mission.  eipt of thoughts ar ractive, allowing o py everyone. Allowell as counsel, is and ideas, promunication in which emorgies.	E de did did did did did did did did did	Noticeably enhar resulting in a me- effectiveness. If resources to pro- support available energize unit me- effectiveness. Vand policies that morale. Builds si motto Mission fir.  eas that enable as perceive probler abordinates to as! Highly developed. Adept in composi- highest quality. Sills which enge understanding irro size of the dro-	ces subordinate sur able increas aximizes unit an inde subordinate . Proactive apprimers to 'take c. Proactive apprimers to 'take c. Tecting potentia inder subordinate inder subordinate subordinate subordinate subordinate subordinate, index	is' well-being, ein until d base in unit d base in unit d base s with the best oach serves to are for their problems tes' if or techniques and build osphere. Puts ys, into action.  Lership. Equal ir s, provide concis issues and cor communication ents of the noe and verbal and achieve setting, situation situation situation.	G nnportrisse	H ance and N/O
ADV  A  Solven  Solven  A  A  A  A  A  A	Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates' well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.    B	C ne efff	Instills and/or reinforces a responsibility among junio themselves and their subcatively fosters the develouses support systems for which improve their ability unit mission accomplishmenhance subordinate well unit's ability to accomplish enhance subordinate well unit's ability to accomplish a critical reading skills. Intea of orm easily understood it a form easily understood it is ability to motivate as we Clearly articulates though verbally and in writing. Call forms is accurate, intelligent and timely. Communicate verve, ensuring understangurpose. Encourages an contributions of others.	sense of r Marines for rodinates, poment of and subordinates to contribute to ent. Efforts to are improve the lits mission.  eipt of thoughts ar ractive, allowing o py everyone. Allowell as counsel, is and ideas, promunication in which emorgies.	E de did did did did did did did did did	Noticeably enhar resulting in a me- effectiveness. If resources to pro- support available energize unit me- effectiveness. Vand policies that morale. Builds si motto Mission fir.  eas that enable as perceive probler abordinates to as! Highly developed. Adept in composi- highest quality. Sills which enge understanding irro size of the dro-	ces subordinate sur able increas aximizes unit an inde subordinate . Proactive apprimers to 'take c. Proactive apprimers to 'take c. Tecting potentia inder subordinate inder subordinate subordinate subordinate subordinate subordinate, index	is' well-being, ein until d base in unit d base in unit d base s with the best oach serves to are for their problems tes' if or techniques and build osphere. Puts ys, into action.  Lership. Equal ir s, provide concis issues and cor communication ents of the noe and verbal and achieve setting, situation situation situation.	G nnportrisse	H ance and N/O

	ast Name		b. First Name	c. MI	d. \$	SSN	a.OCC	ion and Period Co b. From		То	
G. I	NTELLECT AND WISD	ОМ									
readt nonres selecti	OFESSIONAL MILITARY ED th and depth of warfighting ar sident and other extension co- ons from the Commandant's logies.	d lea urses Read	ITION (PME). Commitme Idership aptitude. Resour s; civilian educational institu Ing List; participation in di	nt to intell ces includ ution cour scussion	lectual gro de resider sework; a groups ar	wth t scl per d m	in ways beneficia nools; professiona sonal reading pro litary societies; ar	I to the Marine Co Il qualifications and gram that include Id involvement in I	rps. Increase: d certification p s (but is not lim earning throug	s the proce nited gh ne	sses;
ADV	Maintains currency in required military skills and related developments. Has completed or is enrolled in appropriate level of PME for grade and level of experience. Recognizes and understands niew and creative approaches to service issues. Remains abreast of contemporary concepts and issues.		PME outlook extends bey required education. Devore a comprehensive person includes broadened profe and/or academic course and on academic course and concepts and ideas.	ai prograr	n which I		Dedicated to life- active and contin recognized as ar professionally rel study and takes; and programs. I approaches to se broad spectrum	long learning. As uous efforts, wide intellectual leader ated topics. Make dvantage of all rentroduces new an ervices issues. En of forums and dialo	a result of ly rin set time for sources d creative gages in a ogues.		N/O
Α	В	С	D			Ε, <sup>'</sup>		F		Ğ,	<u> </u>
 2. DE	CISION MAKING ABILITY.	∟ Viabl	e and timely problem solut	tion. Con	ntributina e	elem	ents are iudamer	ut and decisivenes	s. Decisions re	eflect	the
baland comm	CISION MAKING ABILITY. De between an optimal solution ander's established intent and	n and I the	l a satisfactóry, workable s goal of mission accomplis	solution th hment. <i>A</i>	nat genera Anticipatio	ites n, m	tempo. Decisions ental agility, intuit	are made within on, and success a	the context of are inherent.	the	
ADV	Makes sound de cisions leading to mission accomplishment. Actively collects and evaluates information and weighs alternatives to achieve timely results. Confidently approaches problems: accepts responsibility for outcomes.		Demonstrates mental ag prioritizes and solves mul problems. Analytical abili experience, education, a Anticipates problems and viable, long-term solution: willing to make difficult de	ility; effec tiple com ties enha nd intuition impleme s. Steadf	tively plex inced by n. nts			Seldom matched			N/O
A	В	С	D			E		F		G	Н
 3JU	DGMENT. The discretionary rehends the consequences of	aspe	ect of decision making. Dra	aws on co	ore values	kn	owledge and per	sonal experience t	o make wise o	hoice	28
ADV	Majority of judgments are measured, circumspect, relevant, and correct.	0011	Decisions are consistent correct, tempered by con consequences. Able to it and assess relevant factor making process. Opinion others. Subordinates per favor of impartiality.	and unifo sideration dentify, is ors in the	rmly n of their olate decision		Decisions reflect	exceptional insigh ne's experience. ( en an arbiter. Cor nt inspires the conf	t and wisdom		N/O
Α	В	С	D			E		F		G	Н
	FICATION:										-
1. EV	ALUATIONS. The extent to vevaluations.  Occasionally submitted untimely or administratively incorrect evaluations. As RS, submitted one or more reports that contained inflated markings. As RO, concurred with one or more reports from subordinates that were returned by HQMC for inflated marking.			uations wheed on times coribed ter. Evalutions No cordinates flated mare turned ve errors superlative ic, verifial loossible,	hich e. uations of for ' reports rking. by RO es. ble,	duct	No reports subm by either RO or Incorrection or influsional subordinates' repadministrative conditional Returned proceding recorrect reports	hers to conduct, a itted late. No repo IOMC for adminis ited markings. No orts returned by I rrection or inflated urally or administ to subordinates fo rred with all inflate	orts returned trative hQMC for markings. atively or correction.	ated,	and N/O
1. EV	CULFILLMENT OF EVAL ALUATIONS. The extent to vevaluations.  Occasionally submitted untimely or administratively incorrect evaluations. As RS, submitted one or more reports that contained inflated markings. As RO, concurred with one or more reports from subordinates that were returned by HOMC for	C	this officer serving as a re- Prepared uninflated evaluere consistently submittle Evaluations accurately deperformance and charact contained no inflated mar reports returned by ROo subreturned by HOMC for in Few. if any, reports were or HOMC for administration Section Cs were void of substantive, and where p quantifiable and supporte	uations wheed on times coribed ter. Evalutions No cordinates flated mare turned ve errors superlative ic, verifial loossible,	hich e. Jations of for 'reports rking. by RO es. ble, rkings	E	No reports subm by either RO or Incorrection or influsional subordinates' repadministrative conditional Returned proceding recorrect reports	itted late. No report Markings. No report Markings. No report North Markings. No rection or inflated untilly or administration with all inflate or the marking of the marking of the marking or the marking of the marki	orts returned trative hQMC for markings. atively or correction.	G	
A _	FULFILLMENT OF EVAL ALUATIONS. The extent to vevaluations.  Occasionally submitted untimely or administratively incorrect evaluations. As RS, submitted one or more reports that contained inflated markings. As RO, concurred with one or more reports from subordinates that were returned by HQMC for inflated marking.  B.	vhich	this officer serving as a re- Prepared uninflated evaluere consistently submitter- Evaluations accurately de- performance and charact- contained no inflated mar- reports returned by ROo inflated mar- reports returned by ROo inflated mar- reports returned by HOMC for in- Few, if any, reports were or HOMC for administrati- Section Cs were void of solutions were specif- substantive, and where p quantifiable and supporte	uations wheed on times coribed ter. Evalutions No cordinates flated mare turned ve errors superlative ic, verifial loossible,	hich e. Jations of for 'reports rking. by RO es. ble, rkings		No reports subm by either RO or Incorrection or influsional subordinates' repadministrative conditional Returned proceding recorrect reports	itted late. No report ADMC for administed markings. No onts returned by Frierally or administration or inflated administration of which all inflate with all inflate for the design of the property of the pro	orts returned trative hQMC for markings. atively or correction.		N/O
A _	FULFILLMENT OF EVAL ALUATIONS. The extent to vexaluations.  Occasionally submitted untimely or administratively incorrect evaluations. As RS, submitted one or more reports that contained inflated markings. As RO, concurred with one or more reports from subordinates that were returned by HQMC for inflated marking.	C	this officer serving as a re- Prepared uninflated evaluere consistently submittle Evaluations accurately deperformance and charact contained no inflated mar reports returned by ROo subreturned by HOMC for in Few. if any, reports were or HOMC for administration Section Cs were void of substantive, and where p quantifiable and supporte	uations wheed on times coribed ter. Evalutions No cordinates flated mare turned ve errors superlative ic, verifial loossible,	hich e. Jations of for 'reports rking. by RO es. ble, rkings	E	No reports subm by either RO or Incorrection or influsional subordinates' repadministrative conditional Returned proceding recorrect reports	itted late. No report Markings. No report Markings. No report North Markings. No rection or inflated untilly or administration with all inflate or the marking of the marking of the marking or the marking of the marki	orts returned trative hQMC for markings. atively or correction.	G	N/O

Marine Reported On:     a. Last Name	b. First Name	c. MI	d. SSN	a.OC		d Period Co From		То
. DIRECTED AND ADDITION	IAL COMMENTS							
J. CERTIFICATION								
1. I CERTIFY that to the best of my k and belief all entries made hereon are	nowledge true and							
without prejudice or partiality and that provided a signed copy of this report to Marine Reported on.	o the	moture of F	Ponorting Conjor					
	, - ,	griature of h	Reporting Senior	1		(Date in YY	YYMMDD 1	format)
<ol><li>I ACKNOWLEDGE the adverse nat</li><li>I have no statement to</li></ol>	ure of this report and							
I have attached a								
		ature of Ma	arine Reported O	n)		(Date in YY	YYMMDD 1	format)
K. REVIEWING OFFICER CO								
1. OBSERVATION: Sufficient	Insufficient		2. EVALUATIO	N: Con			ot Concur	
3. COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT: Provide a comparative assessment of	DESCRI		445045		СОМ	PARATIVE	E ASSES	SMENT
potential by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. In marking the	THE EMINENTLY QU	JALIFIED	MARINE =					
comparison, consider all Marines of this grade whose professional abilities are known to you	ONE OF THE EXCEPTIONALLY QUA	HE FEW ALIFIED M	ARINES					
personally.	ONE OF THE MANY I	HIGHLY QU	JALIFIED -					
	PROFESSIONALS MAJORITY OF							
	A QUALIFIED	MARINE	_					
	UNSATISF.	ACTORY						
4. <b>REVIEWING OFFICER COMMENT</b> include: promotion, command, assignm	S: Amplify your comparati	ve assessm	nent mark; evalu	ate potentia	I for conti	nued profess	sional devel	opment to
nclude: promotion, command, assignm	nent, resident PME, and re	tention; and	put Reporting	senior mark	s and cor	nments in pe	erspective.	
5. I CERTIFY that to the best of my								
<ol> <li>I CERTIFY that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and without prejudice partiality.</li> </ol>		moture of F	Poviowin ~ Offi	`				
· · ·		mature or F	Reviewing Officer	)		(Date in YY	YYMMDD 1	format)
6. I ACKNOWLEDGE the adverse na	ature of this report and							
I have no statement to	_							
I have attached a	(Sigr	ature of Ma	arine Reported C	On)		(Date in YY	YYMMDD 1	format)
L. ADDENDUM PAGE								
	ADDENDUM	I PAGE AT	TACHED:	YES				

## Appendix B

# **Appendix B: United States Marine Corps, Performance Evaluation System, Section F – Leadership.**

## SECTION F - LEADERSHIP

#### 1. LEADING SUBORDINATES

a. <u>Definition</u>. "The inseparable relationship between leader and led. The application of leadership principles to provide direction and motivate subordinates. Using authority, persuasion, and personality to influence subordinates to accomplish assigned tasks. Sustaining motivation and morale while maximizing subordinates' performance."

- (1) "B" marking "Engaged; provides instructions and directs execution. Seeks to accomplish mission in ways that sustain motivation and morale. Actions contribute to unit effectiveness."
- (2) "D" marking "Achieves a highly effective balance between direction and delegation. Effectively tasks subordinates and clearly delineates standards expected. Enhances performance through constructive supervision. Fosters motivation and enhances morale. Builds and sustains teams that successfully meet mission requirements. Encourages initiative and candor among subordinates."
- (3) "F" marking "Promotes creativity and energy among subordinates by striking the ideal balance of direction and delegation. Achieves highest levels of performance from subordinates by encouraging individual initiative. Engenders willing subordination, loyalty, and trust that allow subordinates to overcome their perceived limitations. Personal leadership fosters highest levels of motivation and morale, ensuring mission accomplishment even in the most difficult circumstances."
- c.  $\underline{\text{Discussion}}$ . Assessing leadership is difficult; particularly those styles that are not always immediately obvious.
- (1) Better leaders employ varied methods to get the best from their subordinates.
- (2) They lead through the quiet times and not just the busy activities.

- (3) This attribute assesses MRO's achievements in terms of applied leadership. Success in this applied leadership should manifest itself in increased subordinate and unit performance.
- (4) The scope of an individual's leadership expands further than the number of people under his or her immediate charge; it is also a measure of how one inspires, directs, influences, and persuades others by words and deeds. These qualities can be assessed even when the MRO is not filling a leadership billet, per se.

#### 3. **DEVELOPING SUBORDINATES**

a. <u>Definition</u>. "Commitment to train, educate, and challenge all Marines regardless of race, religion, ethnic background, or gender. Mentorship. Cultivating professional and personal development of subordinates. Developing team players and esprit de corps. Ability to combine teaching and coaching. Creating an atmosphere tolerant of mistakes in the course of learning."

- (1) "B" marking "Maintains an environment that allows personal and professional development. Ensures subordinates participate in all mandated development programs."
- (2) "D" marking "Develops and institutes innovative programs, to include PME, that emphasize personal and professional development of subordinates. Challenges subordinates to exceed their perceived potential thereby enhancing unit morale and effectiveness. Creates an environment where all Marines are confident to learn through trial and error. As a mentor, prepares subordinates for increased responsibilities and duties."
- (3) "F" marking "Widely recognized and emulated as a teacher, coach and leader. Any Marine would desire to serve with this Marine because they know they will grow personally and professionally. Subordinate and unit performance far surpassed expected results due to MRO's mentorship and team building talents. Attitude toward subordinate development is infectious, extending beyond the unit."
- c. <u>Discussion</u>. This attribute seeks to assess the leader's capacity to "fine-tune" the team. Given that most leaders will achieve basic proficiency in the training of their unit, you can best judge exceptions in this area by the degree of honing that the leader achieves. The following questions provide help here:
- (1) Does the Marine seek to prepare subordinates to assume greater responsibility at short notice?
- (2) Does the Marine challenge subordinates to seek their own knowledge and develop their analytical skills?
- (3) Does the Marine tolerate honest mistakes, and pace the program on the development of subordinates, rather than on the achievement of

objectives; or, in the words of General Lejeune, "Does the leader teach and coach, or simply instruct?"

#### 4. SETTING THE EXAMPLE

a. <u>Definition</u>. "The most visible facet of leadership: how well a Marine serves as a role model for all others. Personal action demonstrates the highest standards of conduct, ethical behavior, fitness, and appearance. Bearing, demeanor, and self-discipline are elements."

#### b. Scaled Measurements

- (1) "B" marking "Maintains Marine Corps standards for appearance, weight, and uniform wear. Sustains required level of physical fitness. Adheres to the tenets of the Marine Corps core values."
- (2) "D" marking "Personal conduct on and off duty reflects highest Marine Corps standards of integrity, bearing and appearance. Character is exceptional. Actively seeks self-improvement in wideranging areas. Dedication to duty and professional example encourage others' self-improvement efforts."
- (3) "F" marking "Model Marine, frequently emulated. Exemplary conduct, behavior, and actions are tone setting. An inspiration to subordinates, peers, and seniors. Remarkable dedication to improving self and others."
- c.  $\underline{\text{Discussion}}$ . Leadership depends on personal credibility and a commitment to excellence.
- (1) Leaders who do not demonstrate self-discipline, personal organization, physical fitness, and respect for the Corps fail to fully meet the responsibility of their offices.
- (2) Beyond the physical aspects of leadership, being fully knowledgeable in the directives that guide appearance, fitness, conduct, and other areas is essential in creating the aura of authority.
- (3) Paramount is a personal commitment to our core values; a belief that these values are central to the Corps' ethos and status in American society. The health and vitality of the Corps depend on passing along these characteristics that make Marines unique.

#### 5. ENSURING WELL-BEING OF SUBORDINATES

a. <u>Definition</u>. "Genuine interest in the well-being of Marines. Efforts enhance subordinates' ability to concentrate/focus on unit mission accomplishment. Concern for family readiness is inherent. The importance placed on welfare of subordinates is based on the belief that Marines take care of their own."

- (1) "B" marking "Deals confidently with issues pertinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates' well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission."
- (2) "D" marking "Instills and/or reinforces a sense of responsibility among junior Marines for themselves and their subordinates. Actively fosters the development of and uses support systems for subordinates which improve their ability to contribute to unit mission accomplishment. Efforts to enhance subordinate welfare improve the unit's ability to accomplish its mission."
- (3) "F" marking "Noticeably enhances subordinate well-being, resulting in a measurable increase in unit effectiveness. Maximizes unit and base resources to provide subordinates with the best support available. Proactive approach serves to energize unit members to "take care of their own," thereby correcting potential problems before they can hinder subordinates' effectiveness. Widely recognized for techniques and policies that produce results and build morale. Builds strong family atmosphere. Puts motto Mission first, Marines always, into action."
- c. <u>Discussion</u>. From their first days in training, Marines learn the imperative of taking care of their subordinates. The phrase "Marines take care of their own" captures the spirit of this facet of leadership. The primary reason for this emphasis is the time-tested observation that military units perform better when their leadership looks to the well being of their members.
- (1) A leader's efforts in ensuring subordinates' welfare and their families' quality of life reduces the Marines' burden, allowing them to concentrate more effectively on accomplishing the unit's mission. A concern is that a leader's efforts may be so aggressive that Marines get the impression that the leader will always fix their problems for them.
- (2) The leader's policies and actions must instill a sense of personal responsibility among junior Marines for themselves and *their* subordinates.
- (3) Efforts to ensure subordinate welfare should never take priority over or come at the expense of the unit's mission or effectiveness. Taking care of Marines is inherent to accomplishing the mission.

## 6. COMMUNICATION SKILLS

a. <u>Definition</u>. "The efficient transmission and receipt of thoughts and ideas that enable and enhance leadership. Equal importance given to listening, speaking, writing, and critical reading skills. Interactive, allowing one to perceive problems and situations, provide concise guidance, and express complex ideas in a form easily understood by everyone. Allows subordinates to ask questions, raise issues and concerns, and venture opinions. Contributes to a leader's ability to motivate as well as counsel."

- (1) "B" marking "Skilled in receiving and conveying information. Communicates effectively in performance of duties."
- (2) "D" marking "Clearly articulates thoughts and ideas, verbally and in writing. Communication in all forms is accurate, intelligible, concise, and timely. Communicates with clarity and verve, ensuring understanding of intent or purpose. Encourages and considers the contributions of others."
- (3) "F" marking "Highly developed facility in verbal communication. Adept in composing written documents of the highest quality. Combines presence and verbal skills, which engender confidence and achieve understanding irrespective of the setting, situation, or size of the group addressed. Displays an intuitive sense of when and how to listen."
  - c. Discussion. The ability to communicate is vital.
- (1) Without this skill: orders get misunderstood, directives get confused, and the potency of good leadership becomes diluted.
- (2) This is an everyday skill that all Marines must practice and refine.
- (3) Skill in listening to and hearing what others offer is as important as what we say or write.

## Appendix C

## Appendix C: United States Marine Corps, Performance Evaluation System, Section H – Fulfillment of Evaluation Responsibilities.

<u>Section H: Definition</u>. "The extent to which this officer serving as a reporting official conducted, or required others to conduct, accurate, uninflated, and timely evaluations."

- a. "B" marking "Occasionally submitted untimely or administratively incorrect evaluations. As RS, submitted one or more reports that contained inflated markings. As RO, concurred with one or more reports from subordinates that were returned by HQMC for inflated marking."
  - b. "D" marking "Prepared uninflated evaluations which were consistently submitted on time. Evaluations accurately described performance and character. Evaluations contained no inflated markings. No reports returned by RO or HQMC for inflated marking. No subordinates' reports returned by HQMC for inflated marking. Few, if any, reports were returned by RO or HQMC for administrative errors. Section Cs were void of superlatives. Justifications were specific, verifiable, substantive, and where possible, quantifiable and supported the markings given."
- c. "F" marking "No reports submitted late. No reports returned by either RO or HQMC for administrative correction or inflated markings. No subordinates' reports returned by HQMC for administrative correction or inflated markings. Returned procedurally or administratively incorrect reports to subordinates for correction. As RO nonconcurred with all inflated reports."

# Appendix D

# Appendix D: United States Air Force, Company and Field Grade Officer Performance Feedback Worksheets

COMPANY GRADE OFFIC	CER PERFORMANCE FEEL	BACK WORKSHEET	
I. PERSONAL INFORMATION			
NAME	GRADE	UNIT	
II. KEY DUTIES, TASKS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES	IV. COMME	NTS	
III. PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK	needs		
significant improvement	little or no improvement		
JOB KNOWLEDGE	mignovement		
Has knowledge required to perform			
duties effectively			
Strives to improve knowledge			
2. LEADERSHIP SKILLS			
Sets and enforces standards	-		
Works well with others	-		
Fosters teamwork	-		
Displays initiative	-		
Self-confident	<del></del>		
3. PROFESSIONAL QUALITIES			
Exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication,			
integrity, honesty, and officership			
Adheres to Air Force standards	-		
Accepts personal responsibility	-		
Is fair and objective			
4. ORGANIZATIONAL SKILLS			
Demonstrates ability to plan	<del></del>		
Coordinates actions	<del></del>		
Schedules effectively			
Uses resources effectively and			
efficiently			
Meets suspenses			
5. JUDGEMENT AND DECISIONS			
Makes timely and accurate decisions			
Emphasizes logic in decision making			
Retains composure in stressful situations	<b>—</b>		
Recognizes opportunities			
Requires minimal supervision			
6. COMMUNICATION SKILLS			
Listening 4			
Speaking			
Writing 4			

I. PERSONAL INFORMATION				
NAME		GRADE	UNIT	
		Gina	John T.	
II. KEY DUTIES, TASKS, AND RES	PONSIBILITIES	IV. COMMEN	NTS	
		+		
		1		
III. PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK		-		
III. PENFONWANCE FEEDBACK	needs needs			
	significant little or no improvement improvement			
1. JOB KNOWLEDGE				
Has knowledge required to perform duties effectively	<b>←</b>			
Strives to improve knowledge				
Applies knowledge to handle nonroutine situations	•			
2. LEADERSHIP SKILLS				
Sets and enforces standards	<b>←</b>			
Motivates subordinates	<b>←</b>			
Works well with others	<b>←</b>			
Fosters teamwork				
Displays initiative				
Self-confident Has respect and confidence of				
subordinates				
Fair and consistent in evaluation of subordinates	<del></del>			
3. PROFESSIONAL QUALITIES				
Exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication, integrity, honesty, officership	<del></del>			
Adheres to Air Force standards	<b>←</b>			
Accepts personal responsibility	<del></del>			
Is fair and objective				
4. ORGANIZATIONAL SKILLS				
Plans, coordinates, schedules, and uses resources effectively	<b>←</b>			
Schedules work for self and others				
equitably and effectively	+			
Anticipates and solves problems	<b>←</b>			
Meets suspenses	<b>←</b>			
5. JUDGEMENT AND DECISIONS				
Makes timely and accurate decisions				
Emphasizes logic in decision making	<b>←</b>			
Retains composure in stressful situations	+			
Recognizes opportunities and acts to take advantage of them	<b>←</b>			
6. COMMUNICATION SKILLS				
Listening	<b>←</b>			
Speaking	+			
Writing				

# Appendix E

# Appendix E: United States Air Force, Company and Field Grade Officer Performance Report (OPR)

COMPANY	GRADE OFFICE	R PERFORMA	NCE REPORT	Г	
. RATEE IDENTIFICATION DATA (Read AFI 36-2402	carefully before filling in	n any item)			
1. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	2. SSN	1	3. GRADE		4. DAFSC
5. PERIOD OF REPORT		6. NO. DAYS SUR	PERVISION	7. REASON	FOR REPORT
From: Thru:  B. ORGANIZATION, COMMAND, LOCATION					9. PAS CODE
I. UNIT MISSION DESCRIPTION					
II. JOB DESCRIPTION  1. DUTY TITLE:  2. KEY DUTIES, TASKS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES:  V. IMPACT ON MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT					
			DO	ES NOT	MEETS
V. PERFORMANCE FACTORS				ES NOT STANDARDS	MEETS STANDARDS
v. PERFORMANCE FACTORS  1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties eff- Strives to improve knowledge.	ectively.				
1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties eff	h others.				
Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties eff. Strives to improve knowledge.      Leadership Skills Sets and enforces standards. Works well wit	th others. onfident. ty, honesty, and of				
1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties eff. Strives to improve knowledge.  2. Leadership Skills Sets and enforces standards. Works well wit Fosters teamwork. Displays initiative. Self-co. 3. Professional Qualities Exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication, integrit Adheres to Air Force standards. Accepts personal programmers.	h others. onfident. ty, honesty, and of sonal responsibility	· ·	MEET S		
1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties eff. Strives to improve knowledge.  2. Leadership Skills Sets and enforces standards. Works well wit Fosters teamwork. Displays initiative. Self-co.  3. Professional Qualities Exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication, integrit Adheres to Air Force standards. Accepts persis fair and objective.  4. Organizational Skills Demonstrates ability to plan, coordinate, sche	th others. onfident. ty, honesty, and of sonal responsibility edule effectively, a usizes logic in sful situations.	· ·	MEET S		

VI DATED OVERALL ACCECUATION					
VI. RATER OVERALL ASSESSMENT					
Last performance feedback was accomplished on:	(con	sistent with th	ne direction in AFI 36-240	2.)	
(If not accomplished, state the reason)					
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
VII. ADDITIONAL RATER OVERALL ASSESSMENT		CONCL	In I	Lion	conour
VII. ADDITIONAL HATER OVERALE ASSESSMENT		CONCC	JH.	NON	CONCUR
NAME CRAPE DE OF SUG ORON COMO S LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
VIII. REVIEWER		CONCU	IR .	NON	CONCUR
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
	Instruction	ins			
All: Recommendations must be based on performance	e and the potent	ial based on	that performance.	Promotion	recommendations are
prohibited. Do not comment on completion of or enrolln on AF Form 709, OER indorsement levels, family activitie	nent in PME, advar es, marital status, i	nced education	on, previous or anticipa	ated prome	otion recommendations
Rater: Focus your evaluation in Section IV on what the accomplishment. Write in concise "bullet" format.	ie officer did, how Your comments in	/ well he or n Section V	she did it and how to I may include recome	he officer mendation:	contributed to mission s for augmentation or
assignment.					
Additional Rater: Carefully review the rater's evalua	tion to ensure it	is accurat	e, unbiased and un	inflated.	f you disagree, you
may ask the rater to review his or her evaluation. You "NON-CONCUR" and explain. You may include recomme	may not direct a	change in the	e evaluation. If you s	till disagre	ee with the rater, mark
Reviewer: Carefully review the rater's and additional rat mark the form "CONCUR" and sign the form. If you dis	er's ratings and co agree with previou	mments. If is evaluators	their evaluations are a	ccurate, u	nbiased and uninflated,
may not direct them to change their appraisals. If	you still disagree	with the	additional rater, mar	k "NONC	ONCUR" and explain in
Section VIII. Do not use "NONCONCUR" simply to provi	ue comments on th	ie report.			
IX. ACQUISITION EXAMINER/AIR FORCE ADVISOR		AC	QUISITION EXAMINER		AIR FORCE ADVISOR
(Indicate applicable review by marking the appropriate	box(es).)		applicable)		(If applicable)
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	SIGNATURE				DATE
AE FORM 707B OCT OF (PEVERSE) (FE V2) (Parsons on					

	RADE OFFICER PERFORMA	NCE REPORT		
I. RATEE IDENTIFICATION DATA (Read AFI 36-2402)	carefully before filling in any item)			
1. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	2. SSN	3. GRADE		4. DAFSC
5. PERIOD OF REPORT From: Thru:	6. NO. DAYS	SUPERVISION	7. REASON FO	OR REPORT
From:   Thru: 8. ORGANIZATION, COMMAND, LOCATION				9. PAS CODE
II. UNIT MISSION DESCRIPTION				
III. JOB DESCRIPTION				
1. DUTY TITLE:				
2. KEY DUTIES, TASKS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES:				
V. PERFORMANCE FACTORS			ES NOT	MEETS
V. PERFORMANCE FACTORS			ES NOT TANDARDS	MEETS STANDARDS
V. PERFORMANCE FACTORS  1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties effe Strives to improve knowledge. Applies knowledge to handle nonroutine situat				
1. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties effe Strives to improve knowledge.	dinates. Works well			
I. Job Knowledge  las knowledge required to perform duties effe  Strives to improve knowledge.  Applies knowledge to handle nonroutine situate  2. Leadership Skills  Sets and enforces standards. Motivates subor  with others. Fosters teamwork. Displays inition  las respect and confidence of subordinates. In  n evaluation of subordinates.  3. Professional Qualities  Exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication, integrity  Adheres to Air Force standards. Accepts persi	dinates. Works well ative. Self-confident. Fair and consistent			
1. Job Knowledge  Has knowledge required to perform duties effe  Strives to improve knowledge.  Applies knowledge to handle nonroutine situat  2. Leadership Skills  Sets and enforces standards. Motivates subor  with others. Fosters teamwork. Displays initie  Has respect and confidence of subordinates.	dinates. Works well ative. Self-confident. Fair and consistent  The property of the property o			
I. Job Knowledge Has knowledge required to perform duties effe Strives to improve knowledge. Applies knowledge to handle nonroutine situat  2. Leadership Skills Sets and enforces standards. Motivates subor with others. Fosters teamwork. Displays initi- Has respect and confidence of subordinates. In evaluation of subordinates.  3. Professional Qualities exhibits loyalty, discipline, dedication, integrity Adheres to Air Force standards. Accepts perso s fair and objective.  4. Organizational Skills Plans, coordinates, schedules, and uses resour schedules work for self and others equitably a	dinates. Works well ative. Self-confident. Fair and consistent of the consistent of			

AF FORM 707A, OCT 95 (EF -V2) (PerFORM PRO)

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

VI. RATER OVERALL ASSESSMENT					
THE TENED TENED ADDED					
Last performance feedback was accomplished on:	(cor	sistent with ti	ne direction in AFI 36-240	)2./	
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
VII. ADDITIONAL RATER OVERALL ASSESSMENT		CONCL	JR .	NON	CONCUR
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
	3314		SIGNATORE		
VIII. REVIEWER		CONCL	JR .	NON	CONCUR
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	DUTY TITLE				DATE
	CCN		SIGNATURE		
	SSN		SIGNATURE		
	Instruction				
All: Recommendations must be based on performance prohibited. Do not comment on completion of or enrollme	and the potent	ial based or	that performance.	Promotion	recommendations are
on AF Form 709, OER indorsement levels, family activities,	, marital status,	race, sex, et	hnic origin, age, or reli	gion.	otion recommendations
Rater: Focus your evaluation in Section IV on what the	officer did, hov	well he or	she did it and how t	he officer	contributed to mission
accomplishment. Write in concise "bullet" format. You	our comments in	n Section V	I may include recom	mendation:	for augmentation or
assignment.					
Additional Rater: Carefully review the rater's evaluation may ask the rater to review his or her evaluation. You may	on to ensure it	is accurat	e, unbiased and un	inflated. I	f you disagree, you
"NON-CONCUR" and explain. You may include recommend	dations for augm	entation or a	ssignment.	otiii uisagre	e with the rater, mark
Reviewer: Carefully review the rater's and additional rater	's ratings and co	mments. If	their evaluations are a	ccurate. ui	biased and uninflated.
mark the form "CONCUR" and sign the form. If you disag	gree with previou	is evaluator:	s, you may ask them	to review t	heir evaluations, You
may not direct them to change their appraisals. If y Section VIII. Do not use "NONCONCUR" simply to provide	comments on the	he report.	additional rater, mar	k "NONC	DNCOK" and explain in
IX. ACQUISITION EXAMINER/AIR FORCE ADVISOR (Indicate applicable review by marking the appropriate I	hox(es). I		QUISITION EXAMINER applicable)		AIR FORCE ADVISOR (If applicable)
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD & LOCATION	SIGNATURE				DATE

AF FORM 707A,OCT 95 (REVERSE) (EF-V2) (PerFORM PRO)

# Appendix F

# Appendix F: United States Air Force, Promotion Recommendation Form (PRF)

, ,	PROMOTIO	N RECOMMEND	ATION	
I. RATEE IDENTIFICATION DATA (Read A)	FI 36-2402 carefully before	re filling in any item)		
1. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)		2. SSN	3. GRADE	4. DAFSC
5. ORGANIZATION, COMMAND, LOCATION				6. PAS CODE
II. UNIT MISSION DESCRIPTION				
III. JOB DESCRIPTION  1. DUTY TITLE:				
2. KEY DUTIES, TASKS, RESPONSIBILITIES:				
IV. PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION				
V. PROMOTION ZONE	VI. GROUP SIZE	VII. BOARD	ľv	III. SENIOR RATER ID
V. PROMOTION ZONE	VI. GROUP SIZE	VII. BOARD	V	III. SENIOR RATER ID
V. PROMOTION ZONE  BPZ I/APZ	VI. GROUP SIZE	VII. BOARD	V	III. SENIOR RATER ID
BPZ I/APZ	VI. GROUP SIZE	VII. BOARD	V	III. SENIOR RATER ID
BPZ I/APZ	X. s	ENIOR RATER		
V. PROMOTION ZONE  BPZ I/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION	X. s	ENIOR RATER	V, ORGN, COMD & LOCA	
BPZ I/APZ	X. s	ENIOR RATER		
BPZ I/APZ	X. S NAM	ENIOR RATER IE, GRADE, BR OF SVC		
BPZ I/APZ IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE	X. S NAM	ENIOR RATER		
BPZ I/APZ IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION	X. S NAM	ENIOR RATER IE, GRADE, BR OF SVC		
BPZ I/APZ IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE	X. S NAM	ENIOR RATER  IE, GRADE, BR OF SVC		ATION
BPZ I/APZ IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE  PROMOTE	X. S NAM	ENIOR RATER  IE, GRADE, BR OF SVC	, ORGN, COMD & LOCA	ATION
BPZ I/APZ IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE  PROMOTE	X. S. NAM	ENIOR RATER  IE, GRADE, BR OF SVC	, ORGN, COMD & LOCA	ATION
BPZ I/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE DO NOT PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E	X. S NAM DUT SSN	ENIOR RATER  I.E. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and S:	, ORGN, COMD & LOCA SIGNATURE	uation Sheets. Evaluate the
BPZ I/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE DO NOT PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E officer's performance and assess	X. S NAM DUT SSN	ENIOR RATER  I.E. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and S:	, ORGN, COMD & LOCA SIGNATURE	uation Sheets. Evaluate the
BPZ I/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE DO NOT PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E	X. S NAM DUT SSN	ENIOR RATER  I.E. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and S:	, ORGN, COMD & LOCA SIGNATURE	uation Sheets. Evaluate the
BPZ I/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE DO NOT PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E officer's performance and assess	X. S NAM DUT SSN ducation/Training s his or her potent	ENIOR RATER  E. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and State  ial. Write Prome	SIGNATURE supplemental Evaluation Recommen	luation Sheets. Evaluate the dation (Section IV) in concise
DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E officer's performance and assess "bullet" format.  Provide an accurate, unbiased a marital status.	DUT SSN  ducation/Training s his or her potent ssessment free fr	ENIOR RATER  IE. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and Sial. Write Promo	signature signature upplemental Eva otion Recommen	Juation Sheets. Evaluate the dation (Section IV) in concise ethnic origin, age, religion, or
BPZ II/APZ  IX. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION  DEFINITELY PROMOTE PROMOTE THIS BOARD  Review previous OERs, OPRs, E officer's performance and assess "bullet" format.  Provide an accurate, unbiased a	DUT SSN  ducation/Training s his or her potent ssessment free fr	ENIOR RATER  IE. GRADE, BR OF SVC  Y TITLE  Instructions  Reports, and Sial. Write Promo	signature signature upplemental Eva otion Recommen	Juation Sheets. Evaluate the dation (Section IV) in concise ethnic origin, age, religion, or

# Appendix G

# **Appendix G: United States Army, Officer Evaluation Report Support Form**

			EVALUATION REP s form, see AR 623-105; the	proponent age	incy is ODCSPER	
		Read Priva	cy Act Statement on Reverse	before Comple	eting this form	
IAME OF RATED OFF	CER (Last First MI)	P	ART I - RATED OFFICER RANK	ORGANIZA		
IAME OF NATED OFF	CER (Last, First, Wil)		HAIN	UNGANIZA	CHON	
	, ,	PART II - RATING CHA	IN - YOUR RATING CHA	IN FOR THE	EVALUATION PERIOD IS:	
RATER	NAME			RANK	POSITION	
INTERMEDIATE RATER	NAME			RANK	POSITION	
SENIOR RATER	NAME			RANK	POSITION	
			· VERIFICATION OF FAC			
CURRENT RATING PE	RIOD TOOK PLACE (	ON	(Date) Rated Officer Init	ials	SIBILITIES AND PERFORMAN Rater Initials	Senior Rater Initials (Review)
PERIODIC RATER / RA	ATED OFFICER FOLLO	W-UP FACE-TO-FACE	E COUNSELINGS:			
	Dates		Rated Officer Init	ials	Rater Initials	Senior Rater Initials (Review)
		PART IV - RATE	D OFFICER (Complete a, b	, and c below f	for this rating periodi	
RINCIPAL DUTY TITLE					POSITION AGE / BR	
STATE YOUR SIGNIFIC.	ANT DUTIES AND RESPO	ONSIBILITIES				
INDICATE VOLIB MA IO	R PERFORMANCE OBJE	CTIVES				

c. LIST YOUR SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTIONS	
DART V. DATED AND OR INTERMEDIATE DATED	SIGNATURE AND DATE
PART V - RATER AND/OR INTERMEDIATE RATER (Review	
Insure remarks are consistent with your performance and	otential evaluation on DA Form 67-9
- DATED COMMENTS (Onlocal)	
a. RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. HATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. NATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. NATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. NATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
a. RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	
	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
b. (NTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
b. (NTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory) ACT (U.S.C. 552a)
b. (INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sour	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in the organization mission missi	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  Efficer's performance and development, enhances the information to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary soul DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated of the content of	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  Efficer's performance and development, enhances the information to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in the organization mission missi	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  Efficer's performance and development, enhances the  formation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the  formation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  illitary Personnel File (OMPF) and Career Management Individual
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary soul DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Management of the serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Management of the serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Management of the serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data Routine Da	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the  fformation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  filitary Personnel File (OMPF) and Career Management Individual  to the rated officer. DA Form 67-9-1 and DA Form 67-9-1a
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official M. Fille (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the  fformation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  filitary Personnel File (OMPF) and Career Management Individual  to the rated officer. DA Form 67-9-1 and DA Form 67-9-1a
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Mission, and provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  Efficer's performance and development, enhances the  Efformation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  Evelopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  Efficient Standardizes Junior Officer Counseling.  Efficient Standardizes Junior Officer Anagement Individual  Effort to the rated officer. DA Form 67-9-1 and DA Form 67-9-1a  By the rating chain.
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Developmental Support Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Miles (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review.	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  Action of the first
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sour DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data Routine Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data Routine Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data Routine Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Data Routine Developmental Support Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Market File (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review 4. DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of the rated officer's OFR. Disclosure of the information	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the  formation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  illitary Personnel File (OMPF) and Career Management Individual  is to the rated officer. DA Form 67-9-1 and DA Form 67-9-1a  by the rating chain.  luntary. However, failure to verify the SSN may result in a  in Part IV, DA Form 67-9-1 is voluntary. However, failure to
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form,	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  ce of information for officer personnel management decisions.  fficer's performance and development, enhances the  fformation to the rating chain. DA Form 67-9-1a, Junior Officer  velopment and standardizes Junior Officer counseling.  filitary Personnel File (OMPF) and Career Management Individual  to the rated officer. DA Form 67-9-1 and DA Form 67-9-1a  by the rating chain.   Juntary. However, failure to verify the SSN may result in a  in Part IV, DA Form 67-9-1 is voluntary. However, failure to  thout the benefits of that officer's comments. Should the rated
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Normal File (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review.  4. DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of the rated officer's SSN (Part I, DA Form 67-9) is well delayed or erroneous processing of the officer's OER. Disclosure of the information requested will result in an evaluation of the rated officer we officer use the Privacy Act as a basis not to provide the information requested in P	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  The officer's performance and development, enhances the  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer  ACT (Manda
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated officer Date of Common Support Form,	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  The officer's performance and development, enhances the  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer  ACT (Manda
DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Normal File (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review.  4. DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of the rated officer's SSN (Part I, DA Form 67-9) is well delayed or erroneous processing of the officer's OER. Disclosure of the information requested will result in an evaluation of the rated officer we officer use the Privacy Act as a basis not to provide the information requested in P	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  The officer's performance and development, enhances the  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer  ACT (Manda
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Normal File (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review.  4. DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of the rated officer's OSN (Part I, DA Form 67-9) is well delayed or erroneous processing of the officer's OER. Disclosure of the information requested will result in an evaluation of the rated officer we officer use the Privacy Act as a basis not to provide the information requested in P	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  The officer's performance and development, enhances the  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer  ACT (Manda
b. INTERMEDIATE RATER COMMENTS (Optional)  DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY  1. AUTHORITY: Sec 301 Title 5 USC; Sec 3012 Title 10  2. PURPOSE: DA Form 67-9, Officer Evaluation Report, serves as the primary sou DA Form 67-9-1, Officer Evaluation Support Form, serves as a guide for the rated accomplishment of the organization mission, and provides additional performance in Developmental Support Form, serves as a common framework for Junior Officer D.  3. ROUTINE USE: DA Form 67-9 will be maintained in the rated officer's Official Normal File (CMIF). A copy will be provided to the rated officer either directly or forwarde are for organizational use only and will be returned to the rated officer after review.  4. DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of the rated officer's OSN (Part I, DA Form 67-9) is well delayed or erroneous processing of the officer's OER. Disclosure of the information requested will result in an evaluation of the rated officer we officer use the Privacy Act as a basis not to provide the information requested in P	SIGNATURE AND DATE (Mandatory)  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  Dee of information for officer personnel management decisions.  ACT (U.S.C. 552a)  The officer's performance and development, enhances the  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer officer  ACT (Mandata officer) officer  ACT (Manda

DA FORM 67-9-1, OCT 97 (Reverse)

USAPA V1.00

# Appendix H

# **Appendix H: United States Army, Officer Evaluation Report** (OER)

s. NAME (Last, First, Middle levical) g UNIT, ORIG., STATION, ZIP CODE OR APO, MAJOR i. PERIOD COVERED FROM Over Year Mounts Year Mount Over Year Mounts	R COMMAND  J. RATED  MONTHS	P.			DCSPER				ON DA	4 FORM 67-9-	.,	-
I. PERIOD COVERED  FROM THEIR  Vear Adouth Day Year Mouth	j. RATED MONTHS		ART I - AE		STRATIVE DATA							
i. PERIOD COVERED FROM: THIRL. Year Manth Day Year Manth	j. RATED MONTHS		b. SSN		c.RANK	Year	d. DATE	OF RANK onth Day	e. BRANCH	f. DESKOWAT	TEO / PA	MOS /WOS
FROM THRU  Year Month Day Year Month	MONTHS						,	. REASON FOR	SUBMISSION			
	Day	k. NONRATE	D I. NO. OF	if m.	RATED OFFICER COPY IC	heck one an	d date)	Date	n. PSE	B o. CMC	CODE	p. PSB CODE
				-	Given to Officer     Forwarded to Officer							
PART II - AUT	HENTICATION (Rated o	officer's signa	ture verifies	s office		OER Parts	I-VII and	d the admin d	ata is correct)			
NAME OF RATER (Last, First, MI)	SSN	1	RANK	POSITI	ON		SIGNATU	IRE		DAT	E	
NAME OF INTERMEDIATE RATER (Last, First, MI)	SSN	,	RANK	POSITI	ON		SIGNATU	IRE		DAT	E	
NAME OF SENIOR RATER (Last, First, MI)	SSN		RANK	POSITI	ON		SIGNATU	DE		DAT	· ·	
NAME OF SENIOR PATER (LISE, PISE, MI)	3314			100111			alcinx io			Un.		
ENIOR RATER'S ORGANIZATION	Y		BRANCH	SENIO	R RATER TELEPHONE NUM	MBER	E-MAIL A	DDRESS				
		1	. This is a ref		port, do you wish to make		e. SIGNA	TURE OF RATE	OFFICER	DAT	E	
			PART III		DESCRIPTION	No.						
PRINCIPAL DUTY TITLE							b. POSITI	ION AOC/BR				
SIGNIFICANT DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES. REFE	R TO PART IVe. DA FORM	67-9-1										
C					ATION - PROFESSION/		,	g leader actio	ns			
	HARACTER Dispos	sition of the le	eader: comb	bination			,	g leader actio	ns		,	res i
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory     HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pi	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicly declared code of	sition of the le se PART Vb.	eader: comt	bination	5. RESPECT	and skills	affecting	y, consideration	on, fairness, &		,	/es
1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's programmer. 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high persons.	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicity declared code of al moral standards; hone	sition of the le se PART Vb.	eader: comt	bination	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS	and skills Promote	affection es dignit	y, consideration	on, fairness, &		, 	/es
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory 1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pr	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicly declared code of al moral standards; hone moral bravery	sition of the le ise PART Vb., f values est in word a	eader: comb	No.	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fe	and skills Promote	affection es dignit	y, consideration	on, fairness, &		,	/es
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory 1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pt 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person 3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and 4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alie b, LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS (TITRIBUTES). You from SKILLS (Competence)	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicly declared code of al moral standards; home moral bravery igiance to the U.S. Cons / ACTIONS: First,	Ise PART Vb.  If values est in word a  stitution, the	eader: comb	No unit, an	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Food the soldier	: Premote S-SERVI ulfills profe	es dignity CE: Placessional,	y, considerations of the constant of the cons	on, fairness, & crities before so ral obligations	elf cer. Select on	e from	
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory 1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pi 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high persons 3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and 4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and affe beacher ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS TITRIBUTES. Vow from SKILLS (Competence) mandatory in	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicly declared code of al moral standards; home moral bravery igiance to the U.S. Cons / ACTIONS: First,	Ise PART Vb.  If values est in word a  stitution, the	Army, the user "NO" for ISHIP). Place	No No unit, an	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Food the soldier	: Premote S-SERVI ulfills profe	es dignite CE: Placessional, I six that	y, considerations of the consideration of the consi	on, fairness, & crities before so ral obligations	cer. Select on	e from	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory 1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's p. 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person: 3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and 4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alie b. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS (TITRIBUTES. Var from SKILLS (Competence mandatory in 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) 1. M. Undamental qualities and Poundamental qualities and	HARACTER Dispose of for all "NO" entries. U ublicity declared code of all moral standards; hone moral bravery giance to the U.S. Cons / ACTIONS: First, b), and three from ACTIO	sition of the lease PART Vb., f values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" DNS (LEADER	or "NO" for ISHIP). Place	work, and reach to the an *)	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: For the solidier plack. Second, chaose x* in the appropriate r	Promote S-SERVI ulfills profe e a total of numbered I YES	es dignity CE: Placessional, I six that box with	y, considerations of the consideration of the consi	on, fairness, & crities before so ral obligations the rated offic ments in PART	cer. Select on	e from ments	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pt  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person  3. COURAGE: Memitests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alle  5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS  VITRIBUTES, two from SKILS (Competence)  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  11. MINIMUM Properties and Alleracteristics  2. SKILLS (Competence)  1. CO	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of al moral standards; hon moral brawary ugiance to the U.S. Conn / ACTIONS: First, /, and three from ACTIC ENTAL ssesses desire, will, init ONCEPTUAL	isse PART Vb., f values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" DNS (LEADER YES No stitutive, and di	Army, the u or "NO" for iSHIP). Plac  Scipline	wolt, and reach to the an *)  2. PH  Ma  fitr  2. IN	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fix the solidier block. Second, choose c* in the appropriate re tySiCAL initialist appropriate leves and military bearing TERPERSONAL	: Premote S-SERVI utilities profes e a total of numbered if YES years of physing YES	es dignity CE: Placessional, six that box with NO sical	y, considerations of the consideration of the consi	on, fairness, & erities before st ral obligations the rated officements in PART MOTIONAL splays self-con	cer. Select on TVb. Comr	e from ments YES N der press	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory 1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pt. 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person 3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and 4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alle b. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS (Competence) TITRIBUTES (Select 1) 1: Mundamental qualifies and haracteristics 1.2 SKILLS (Competence) (Select 2) De	HARACTER Dispos y for all "NO" entries. U ublicity declared code of al moral standards; hone moral bravery giance to the U.S. Cone / ACTIONS: First, ), and three from ACTIC ENTAL ssesses desire, will, initi	isse PART Vb., f values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" DNS (LEADER YES No stitutive, and di	Army, the u or "NO" for iSHIP). Plac  Scipline	work, and reach been an *)  2. PH Ma fitte  2. IN Sh	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: For the appropriate revisitation appropriate revisitation appropriate leves and military bearing	: Premote S-SERVI uifills profe e a total of numbered I  YES vel of physing YES coaching,	es dignitrones dig	y, consideration cost Army price legal, and mo optional community of the cost	on, fairness, & erities before stral obligations the rated officements in PART	cer. Select on r vb. Community of the co	e from ments YES N der press	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pi 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high persons 3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and 4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and affe 5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS VITRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Competence) mandatory in  1.1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) undamental qualities and haracteristics 1.2 SKILLS (Competence) 1.3 CC  Select 2)  De  ikill development is part of self-	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ublicity declared code of al moral standards; hone moral brawny glance to the U.S. Cone / ACTIONS: First, ), and three from ACTIC ENTAL SSESSESS desire, will, inits ONCEPTUAL monostrates sound judge	isition of the less part vb., f values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" NICHADER YES NICH	Army, the target schild in the control of the contr	wolth with a second sec	of values, attributes,  5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fi 10 block. Second, choose 4* SICAL intributes and military bearing TERPERSONAL oves skill with people:	e a total of numbered if YES coaching, and skills profe	es dignity CE: Planessional, I six that box with NO sical NO teaching sering	y, considerations Army prices Army prices and motor describe optional community and the control of the control	on, fairness, &  prities before serval obligations  the rated offinents in PART  MOTIONAL splays self-con  ECHNICAL splays the ne	cer. Select on r Vb. Comment of the	e from ments YES N der press	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pl.  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person.  3. COURAGE: Menifests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alled  5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS (Competence)  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  12. SKILLS (Competence)  1. COURAGE: Menifest of Self-  13. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of all moral standards; hon moral bravery giglance to the U.S. Com ACTIONS: First, y, and three from ACTIC  ENTAL sassass desire, will, init  ONCEPTUAL monastrates sound judge Midgior activities leas all Major activities leas all Major activities leas	istion of the less PART Vb I values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" on (LEADER VES" NE STEEL OF THE STEEL OF TH	Army, the u or "No" for scipline  2 scipline  2 creative ncy in requi	wnit, and reach been "?  2. PH Mafitr 2. IN Sh contined proceeding, of	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fix d the solider elock. Second, choose via interpretation and interpretation properties of the solider selection and interpretation	: Premote S-SERVI utilities profet e a total of numbered if YES veel of physical graphing YES coaching, nund empowe judgment, oving	es dignity CE: Pla essional, six that box with NO sical NO teaching ering and was	y, considerations y, considerations Army price legal, and motors describe optional community.  3. El Dia 3. Ti 3. Po accreting the price optional community of the price of th	on, fairness, & serities before stral obligations on the rated officements in PART MOTIONAL splays self-consecutive seems the necomplish all tales.	cer. Select on r Vb. Comr	YES Notes to the constructions of the constructions of the constructions of the constructions of the construction of the const	are
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's pi 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person  3. COURAGE: Manifests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alle  3. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILS  LITRIBUTES, two from SKILS (Competence)  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  1. Indiamental qualities and her acteristics  2. SKILLS (Competence)  1. COURTER  1. CO	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of al moral standards; hom moral bravery gigiance to the U.S. Com y j ACTIONS: First, b, and three from ACTIC  ENTAL ssesses desire, will, init  ONCEPTUAL monastrates sound judge ACTICAL Demonstr 10 Major activities lea physical communication physical communication ommunication gatays good oral, writter gataget activities lea gataget activities	isition of the la lase PART Vb.) I values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" NN (LEADER YES NI) YES NV (LEADER YES NI)	Army, the u  Army, the u  Army for for SSHIP). Place  O  2 scipline  O  2 //creative  may in require: influence  O  2 2	No	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: R. of the solider plotox. Second, choose to the solider plotox. Second, choose the solider plotox. Second, choose the solider plotox. Second, choose the solider plotox. Second military bear tERPERSONAL owns skill with people and the solider plotox of the	e a total of numbered lives of physics of the physi	es dignity CE: Pla essional, six that box with NO sical NO teaching ering and was	y, consideration y, consideration y, consideration of the period of the	on, fairness, & writes before sr ail obligations the rated officements in PAR1 MOTIONAL splays self-con ECHNICAL ssesses the ne complish all ta  OOTIVATINI spires, motivat	cer. Select on r Vb. Comr	e from ments  YES N der press  YES N ortise to citons  YES N	are 40 sure NO
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's p.  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person.  3. COURAGE: Menifests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alle.  5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS  LEADER ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  1. ATTRIBUTES (select 1)  1. My  1. Journamental qualifiers and haracteristics  2. SKILS (Competence)  2. SKILS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  INFLUENCING  Method of reaching goals while operating / improving	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of al moral standards; hone moral brawny giance to the U.S. Cons / ACTIONS: First, b, and three from ACTIC ENTAL sessesses desire, will, initi ONCEPTUAL monostrates sound judgraking, moral reasoning ACTICAL Demonstrate b) Major activities lea- OMMUNICATING	isition of the la lase PART Vb.) I values est in word a stitution, the mark "YES" NN (LEADER YES NI) YES NV (LEADER YES NI)	eader: comit  yes  Army, the ic  or "NO" for  isHiP). Plac  creative  crequince in require  influence  or skills  and skills	No  No  Per each be an ")  Ph Maritime fitter fitte	n of values, attributes,  5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fill d the soldier allock. Second, choose vii in the appropriate in VSICAL intrains appropriate an interpretable in TERPERSONAL town skill with people: unselling, motivating a pressional knowledge, apperating, and impre ECISION-MAKINI	e a total of numbered in YES coaching, and empower judgment, oving YES t, logical neity	es dignity CE: Pla essional, six that box with NO sical NO teaching ering and was	v, consideration v, consideration v, consideration v legal, and mo best describe optional com  3. El Signature v legal, and roll v legal,	on, fairness, & rities before sr ral obligations the rated offinements in PARI MOTIONAL splays self-con ECHNICAL assesses the ne complish all ta	cor. Select on F Vb. Coming to the Community of the Commu	e from ments  YES N der press  YES N ortise to citons  YES N	are NO
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's p.  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person.  3. COURAGE: Meanifests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alled.  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alled.  5. INTRIBUTES: (Select 1)  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  1. My  1. Jundamental qualifies and Pro-  1. Jundamental qualifies and Pro-  2. SKILLS (Competence)  1. Jundamental qualifies and Pro-  2. SKILLS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  1. Jundamental development is part of self-  2. SKILLS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  1. Jundamental development is part of self-  2. SKILLS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  3. INFLUENCING  4. Ty  5. SELECT (Select 3)  5. SELECT (Select 3)  5. SELECT (Select 3)  6. SELECT (Select 3)  7. SELECT (Select 3)  8. SELECT (Select 3)  8. SELECT (Select 3)  8. SELECT (Select 3)  8. SELECT (Select 3)  9.	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of all moral standards; hon moral bravery giglance to the U.S. Com ACTIONS: First, y, and three from ACTIC  ENTAL sesses desire, will, init  ONCEPTUAL monastrates sound judge mixing, moral reasoning ACTICAL Demonate 1) Major activities leas  OMMUNICATING palvas god and activities leas  OMMUNICATING palvas god oral, writter individuals / groups  ANNING	istion of the le	eader: coming  Army, the u or "NO" for SHIP). Place  Scipline  Comparison of the state of the st	No N	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: R, of the solider place. Second, choose via the solider place. Second, choose via the solider place. Second, choose via the appropriate levies and military bearing the second place. Second place via the solider via the solid	Premote S-SERVI Iffilis profe e a total of numbered l YES vel of phys ng YES coaching, nd empow judgment, oving G YES CV, togical n sy YES CV, meets	es dignitices dignitices dignitices. Planessional, is six that box with NO sical NO teaching reing and was NO easoning NO mission	y, considerative cos Army price to the cost of the cos	on, fairness, &  rities before si rities	cer. Select on r vb. Comi  trob. Comi  trob; calm unce excessary expected and function of the community of t	e from ments  YES N der press  YES N ortise to tions  YES N S others  YES N on tools	are NO
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's p  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person  3. COURAGE: Menifests physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alle  5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES (SKILLS  TITRIBUTES; two from SKILLS (Competence) and addrory in  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)  1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 2)  1. CO  2. SKILLS (Competence)  5. SKILLS (Competence)  5. SKILLS (Competence)  1. CO  1. ATTONIS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  INFLUENCING  Method of reaching goals while operating / improving  OPERATING  5. SHOT-LETT mission  Descomplishment  Descomplishment  1. CO  Descomplishment	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of all moral standards; horn moral bravery gigance to the U.S. Cons () ACTIONS: First, ), and three from ACTIC  ENTAL  SSSSSS desire, will, inits  ONCEPTUAL  moral reasoning  ACTICAL Demonate  OMMUNICATING splays good oral, writter individuals / groups  LANNING	istion of the le	Army, the u or "NO" for SHIP). Place of scipline of science of scipline of science of scipline of scip	Wo  unit, are each to the are an ")  Photographic are	or values, attributes,  5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fi 7. DUTY: Fi 100ck. Second, choose 4*YSICAL intrains appropriate let with a spropriate of the sease and military beart TERPERSONAL over skill with people: speraring, and impri propriate let poperaring, and impri propriate let uses resound judgmen d uses resources wise ECCUTING	: Premote S-SERVI Jiffilis profe e a total of numbered l YES Cosching, nd empow judgment, oving G YES (YES)	es dignitices dignitices dignitices. Planessional, is six that box with NO sical NO teaching reing and was NO easoning NO mission	y, consideration of the construction of the co	on, fairness, & riftes before st electric state of the st	cor. Select on r vb. Coming the control of the community of the community of the control of the	e from ments  YES N der press  YES N ortise to tions  YES N S others  YES N on tools	are 10 sure 10 NO
ARMY VALUES (Comments mandatory  1. HONOR: Adherence to the Army's p.  2. INTEGRITY: Possesses high person.  3. COURAGE: Memitest physical and  4. LOYALTY: Bears true faith and alled  5. LEADER ATTRIBUTES / SKILLS (Competence)  1. ATTRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Competence)  1. SKILLS (Competence)  1. CI  2. SKILLS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  METALENCING  OPERATING  4. PI  5. SKILLS (Competence)  1. CI  1. CI  1. CI  2. SKILLS (Competence)  3. ACTIONS (LEADERSHIP) (Select 3)  NIFLUENCING  OPERATING  4. PI  5. SKILLS (Competence)  5. SKILLS (Competence)  6. TO Description of the action of the competence of the compet	HARACTER Dispose y for all "NO" entries. U ubilicity declared code of al moral standards; hone moral brawny gigience to the U.S. Cons / ACTIONS: First, b, and three from ACTIC  ENTAL  SESSESS desire, will, init  ONCEPTUAL monestrates sound judgraking, moral reasoning ACTICAL Demonstrate (I) Major activities leas  OMMUNICATING splays good oral, writter individuals / groups  LANNING  LANNING	istion of the letter the separative set in word a stitution, the mark "YES" in Market set in word a stitution, the mark "YES" in Market set in word a yes No. (LEADER Set In Market set in West In Market set in Mar	eader: coming  Yes  Army, the term of roof for (NO*) for (SHIP). Place  Common of the	We would be a second of the se	5. RESPECT 6. SELFLESS 7. DUTY: Fi, di the soldier allock. Second, choose vi in the appropriate levess and military beart TERPERSONAL terrations appropriate levess and military beart TERPERSONAL power skill with people: unselling, motivating a poperating, and impre ECISION-MAKING upperating, and impre ECISION-MAKING upperating, and impre ECISION-MAKING was tractical proficient was tractical proficient dusas resources wise KECUTING	: Premote :- Premote SERVI uiffils profe e a total of numbered i YES vel of phys ng YES coaching, nd empow judgment, oving G YES t, logical n iby YES co, meets re of people	a affection and a affection are affection and a affection and a six that a si	y, consideration of the constraint of the constr	on, fairness, & on, fairness,	cer. Select on r vb. Committel Committee Commi	e from ments  YES N  YE	are 40 sure 40 40 40 40 40 40 to t

NAME	SSN		PERIOD COVERED -	
+	PART V - PERFORMANCE AN			
EVALUATE THE RATED OFFICER'S PERFORMAN				
OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE	SATISFACTORY PER	REFORMANCE, [	UNSATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	, Потне
MUST PROMOTE	PROMOT		DO NOT PROMOTE	(Explai
COMMENT ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PERF	ORMANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR PHOMO	ION. REFER TO PART	III, DA FORM 67-9 AND PART IV8, b, AND c DA FOR	IM 67-9-1.
DENTIFY ANY UNIQUE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS (	OR AREAS OF EXPERTISE OF VALUE TO T	HE ARMY THAT THIS	OFFICER POSSESSES. FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CAT	regory CPT THROU
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VI - IN	TERMEDIATE RATE	R	
	PART VII	-SENIOR RATER	R	
EVALUATE THE RATED OFFICER'S PROMOTION	PART VII	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior	
	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR ITED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR ITED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS [Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED  ON NOT PROMOTE	-SENIOR RATER	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received w	ith this report and consid
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS RETAIN	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED DO NOT PROMOTE  C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTEN	-SENIOR RATER E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	currently senior   A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with my evaluation and review   YES	ith this report and conside
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRADI LIFIED DO NOT PROMOTE  C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTEN	SENIOR RATER  OTHER (6)	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with my evaluation and review YES S BEST SUITED. FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CATEGOR	ith this report and conside
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS RETAIN	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRAD  LIFIED DO NOT PROMOTE  C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTEN  G. LIST 3 FUTURE ASSIGNMENTS FOR V	SENIOR RATER  OTHER (6)	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with my evaluation and review YES S BEST SUITED. FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CATEGOR	ith this report and consider
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE IOVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS RETAIN  BELOW CENTER OF MASS DO NOT RETAIN	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRAD  LIFIED DO NOT PROMOTE  C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTEN  G. LIST 3 FUTURE ASSIGNMENTS FOR V	SENIOR RATER  OTHER (6)	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with my evaluation and review YES S BEST SUITED. FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CATEGOR	ith this report and consider
BEST QUALIFIED FULLY QUA POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS SENIOR TED IN SAME GRADE (OVERPRINTED BY DA)  ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of Mass if 50% or more in top box)  CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS  BELOW CENTER OF MASS	PART VII POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHER GRAD  LIFIED DO NOT PROMOTE  C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTEN  G. LIST 3 FUTURE ASSIGNMENTS FOR V	SENIOR RATER  OTHER (6)	I currently senior A completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with my evaluation and review YES S BEST SUITED. FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CATEGOR	NO (Explain I

# Appendix I

# Appendix I: United States Navy, Fitness Report and Counseling Record (E7-06)

1. Name (Last, First )	REPORT & COUNS	LLINGIN	2 Grade/Rate	3. Desig		4. SSN	JPERS 1610-1
1. Name (Last, First )	vii suitix)		2 Grade Rate	3. Desig		4. 551	
5. ACT TAR IN	ACT AT/ADSW/ 6. UIC	7. Ship/Statio	on		8.	Promotion Status	9. Date Reported
Occasion for Report	Detachment Detach	ment of		Period of	Report		
10. Periodic	11. of Individual 12. Reporti	ng Senior	13. Special	14. From:		15. To	
16. Not Observed Report	Type of Report			20. Physic	al Readin	iess 21. Bille	t Subcategory (if any
22. Reporting Senior		24. Desig	19. Ops Cdr 25. Title		26. U	IC 27. SSN	
28. Command employ	yment and command achievements.						
29. Primary/Collatera	I/Watchstanding duties. (Enter primar	y duty abbreviatio	n in box.)				
For Mid-term Counseling	Use. (When completing FITREP, 30	). Date Counseled	31. Counselor		3	2. Signature of Individu	al Counseled
enter 30 and 31 from cou							
PERFORMANCE TI standards; 4.0 - Exces	RAITS: 1.0 - Below standards/not pro- eds most 3.0 standards; 5.0 - Meets over		T in any one standard; 2.0 - E nost of the specific standards f	loes not yet for 5.0. Stan	meet all 3 dards are	3.0 standards; 3.0 - M not all inclusive.	eets all 3.0
PERFORMANCE TRAITS	1.0* Below Standards	2.0 Pro- gressing	3.0 Meets Standards		4.0 Above Standards		5.0* eeds Standards
33.	- Lacks basic professional knowledge		Has thorough professional kn	owledge.			ert, sought after to
PROFESSIONAL EXPERTISE:	to perform effectively Cannot apply basic skills.		Competently performs both re	utina		solve difficult pr	oblems. tilled, develops and
Professional			and new tasks.			executes innova-	tive ideas.
knowledge, proficiency, and	<ul> <li>Fails to develop professionally or achieve timely qualifications.</li> </ul>		Steadily improves skills, achi- timely qualifications.	eves		<ul> <li>Achieves early/l qualifications.</li> </ul>	ighly advanced
qualifications.			inicity qualifications.				
NOB							[
34. EOUAL	<ul> <li>Displays personal bias or engages in harassment.</li> </ul>		Always treats others with fair and respect.	ness		- Admired for fair respect.	ness and human
OPPORTUNITY:	- Tolerates bias, unfairness or		Does not condone bias or hara	assment		- Ensures a climat	e of fairness and
Fairness, respect for human worth.	harassment in subordinates.  - Lacks respect for EO objectives.		in or outside of workplace. Supports Navy EO objectives			- Pro-active EO le	
						concrete EO obj	ectives.
	<ul> <li>Interferes with order and discipline by disregarding rights of others.</li> </ul>		Contributes to unit cohesivene and morale.	ess	•	- Leader and mod cohesiveness and	el contributor to unit
NOB						John Sand	
35. MILITARY	- Consistently unsat appearance.		Excellent personal appearance		-	- Exemplary person	nal appearance.
BEARING/ CHARACTER:	<ul> <li>Unsatisfactory demeanor/conduct.</li> <li>Unable to meet one or more</li> </ul>	: :	Excellent demeanor or condu Complies with physical readi	ness		- Exemplary repre - Excellent or outs	sentative of Navy. tanding PRT. A
Appearance,	physical readiness standards.		program, within all standards			leader in physica	I readiness.
conduct, physical fitness, adherence	<ul> <li>Fails to live up to one or more Navy Core Values: HONOR,</li> </ul>		Always lives up to Navy Cor- Values: HONOR, COURAG	E		<ul> <li>Exemplifies Nav HONOR, COUR</li> </ul>	y Core Values: RAGE.
to Navy Core Values	COURAGE, COMMITMENT		COMMITMENT.			COMMITMEN	
NOB							[
36.	- Creates conflict, unwilling to work		Reinforces others' efforts, mee	ts		- Team builder, in	spires cooperation
TEAMWORK: Contributions to	with others, puts self above team.  - Fails to understand team goals or	!	personal commitments to tean Understands team goals, empl	n.		and progress.	, focuses goals and
team building and	teamwork techniques.		good teamwork techniques.			techniques for te	am.
team results.	- Does not take directions well.		Accepts and offers team direct	tion.			pting and offering
					_		_
NOR							
NOB NOB	- Lacks initiative		Pakan initiating to accord				
37. MISSION AC-	- Lacks initiative.		Takes initiative to meet goals.			- Develops innova accomplish miss	ion.
37. MISSION AC- COMPLISHMENT AND INITIATIVE:	- Unable to plan or prioritize.		Takes initiative to meet goals. Plans/prioritizes effectively.			<ul> <li>accomplish miss</li> <li>Plans/prioritizes</li> <li>skill and foresight</li> </ul>	ion. with exceptional nt.
37. MISSION AC- COMPLISHMENT		1				<ul> <li>accomplish miss</li> <li>Plans/prioritizes</li> <li>skill and foresight</li> </ul>	ion. with exceptional it. or readiness, even

LEADERSHIP: Organizing, motivating and developing others to accomplish goals.  NOB Description  Has difficent or weapout  Expected Description  Has difficent or weapout  Has difficent or	1.0* Below Standards notivate, train or devates. reganize, creates prob dinates. set or achieve goals o command mission. ility to cope with or tress. c communicator. hazards or unsafe attend to welfare of stes.  ulty attaining qualifi for the rank or experi- ulty in ship(s), aircra ns systems employmeners in knowledge an ent. skills in specialty are ndards compared to same rank and e. dividual for next care spetitive schools or di- cad, XO, OIC, CO, M ANCE: * All 5.0 an	elop - elems -	deve Orga prob Sets/ whice Perfo Clea Ensu equip Rout perso  Attai and c Capa weap warfi Warf other	PO, DEPT CPO, ge, PG School.	trains and  /, solves r. ealistic goals and mission. ful situations. icator. onnel and abodinates' nal welfare.  as required (s), aircraft, or tal to others in d employment. alty equal to experience.	1310  4.0 Above Standards	Inspiring consister Superb (gets ahe. Leaders) further c. Persever challeng Exceptic Makes s maintain Constant profession     Fully qu for rank Innovati aircraft, above of and emp Warfare others of experien	mitted Comments
EADERSHIP: brganizing, otorivating and eveloping others to complish goals.  Parameter of the complete of the c	notivate, train or deva- tes. rganize, creates prob- dinates. set or achieve goals o command mission. lity to cope with or tress. e communicator. hazards or unsafe attend to welfare of ates. sulty attaining qualifi for the rank or experi- uity in ship(s), aircra- ns systems employmeners in knowledge an ent. skills in specialty are ndards compared to same rank and e. dividual for next care spetitive schools or di- ead, XO, OIC, CO, M [ANCE: * All 5.0 an	elop - elems -	- Effective deve organization of the control of the	Meets Standactively motivates, elops subordinates, elops subordinates, elops subcreasfully elems as they occur achieves useful, rech support comman orms well in stress ar, timely communities safety of persopment, timely considers su onal and profession ins qualifications a expected, about subordinates with the properties of the profession of the profession in the profes	trains and  , solves r. calistic goals and mission. ful situations. icator. onnel and abodinates' nal welfare. as required (s), aircraft, or al to others in d employment. alty equal to experience.	No supposical	Inspiring consister Superb (gets ahe. Leaders) further c. Persever challeng Exceptic Makes s maintain Constant profession     Fully qu for rank Innovati aircraft, above of and emp Warfare others of experien	g motivator and trainer, ently builds winners. organizer, great foresight, and of problems. The problems of th
EADERSHIP: rganizing, ottivating and eveloping others to complish goals.  NOB Does not Lacks ab tolerate sepractices. Does not subordina Lacks ab tolerate sepractices. Does not subordina Description Has diffice expected Has diffice or weapo Below of experience NOB Delow of experience Delow of experience Lacks ab tolerates practices. Does not subordina Decrease Proceeding the subordina or weapo Below of experience Delow of experience Lacks ab tolerates practices. Does not subordina expected Has diffic or weapo Below of experience Delow of experience Lacks ab tolerates practices. Does not subordina expected Has diffic or weapo Below of experience Delow of experience Lacks ab tolerates practices. Does not expected Has diffic or weapo Below of experience Delow of experience Lacks ab tolerates practices. Does not expected Has diffic or weapo Below of experience Delow of experience Delow of experience Delow of experience NOB  NOB DIVIDUAL  NOB DIVIDUAL	ates.  ganize, creates problinates.  set or achieve goals o command mission.  litly to cope with or tress.  communicator.  hazards or unsafe attend to welfare of ates.  sulty attaining qualifior the rank or experiulty in shipe, aircrans systems employmeners in knowledge an ent.  skills in specialty are ndards compared to same rank and e.  dividual for next care apetitive schools or dead, XO, OIC, CO, MANCE: * All 5.0 and ANCE: * All 5.0 and A	ication - ience. aft ent. id	deve Orga prob Sets/ whice Perfo Clea Ensu equip Rout perso  Attai and c Capa weap warfi Warf other	elops subordinates.  unizes successful), re- ch support comman  res safety of perso- prent.  tinely commun  res safety of perso- prent.  tinely considers su  onal and profession  ins qualifications a  expected.  abily employs ship(  pons systems. Equ  are knowledge and  fare skills in specia  r of same rank and  maximum of two)  PO, DEPT CPO,  ge, PG School.	r, solves r. ealistic goals and mission. ful situations. icator. onnel and abodinates' nal welfare. as required (s), aircraft, or al to others in d employment. alty equal to experience.	No numerical o 12 point) only	consister Superto egets ashee Leadersi further c Persever challeng Exceptic Makes s maintair Constan professic Fully qu for rank Innovati aircraft, above of and emp Warfare others of experien	antly builds winners. organizer, great foresight, and of problems. thip achievements dramati- command mission. res through the toughest ges and inspires others. onal communicator. subordinates safety-consci- ns top saftey record. atly improves the personal onal lives of others.  ualified at appropriate level and experience, ively employs ship(s), or weapons systems. We thers in warfare knowledg ployment. e skills in specialty exceed of same rank and one.
ACTICAL ERFORMANCE: Warfare qualified flicers only) asic and tactical mployment of eapons systems.  NOB  0. I recommend screening this ine commendations may be for com EA, CMC, CWO, LDO, Dept Ho 1. COMMENTS ON PERFORM ust be verifiable. Bold, underlin  omnotion ecommendation  NOB  commotion ecommendation  NOB  commotion ecommendation  NOB	for the rank or experi- culty in ship(s), airculty in ship(s), airculty in systems employments in knowledge an entit in specialty are ndards compared to same rank and e. dividual for next care apetitive schools or di- ead, XO, OIC, CO, M	eer milestone(s tuty assignment Major Command 1.0 marks m	and e Capa weap warfi Warf other  s) as follows: (in the such as: LC and, War Colle)	expected. ably employs ship( poons systems. Equ are knowledge and fare skills in specia r of same rank and maximum of two) ge, PG School. cally substantiated	(s), aircraft, or nal to others in d employment. alty equal to experience.	No numerical o 12 point) onl	for rank - Innovati aircraft, above of and emp - Warfare others of experien	and experience, ively employs ship(s), or weapons systems. We thers in warfare knowledg ployment. skills in specialty exceed of same rank and nee.
0. I recommend screening this incecommendations may be for commendations may be for commendations may be for commendations may be for commendations. Bold, underlined the verifiable. Bold, underlined the verifiable. Bold, underlined to the verifiable of the verifiable of the verifiable. Bold, underlined to the verifiable of the verifiable of the verifiable. Bold, underlined to the verifiable of the verifia	dividual for next care apetitive schools or de ad, XO, OIC, CO, M	uty assignmen Major Comma nd 1.0 marks m	nts such as: LC and, War Colle must be specific	PO, DEPT CPO, ge, PG School.	in comments	No numerical o 12 point) onl	ranking peri	rmitted. Comments ser and lower case.
remediations may be for consEA, CMC, CWO, LDO, Dept Ho  1. COMMENTS ON PERFORM must be verifiable. Bold, underline  remediation NOB  2. NDIVIDUAL	petitive schools or de ead, XO, OIC, CO, M IANCE: * All 5.0 an	uty assignmen Major Comma nd 1.0 marks m	nts such as: LC and, War Colle must be specific	PO, DEPT CPO, ge, PG School.	in comments	No numerical o 12 point) onl	ranking peri	rmitted. Comments er and lower case.
Recommendation NOB 2. NDIVIDUAL								
2. NDIVIDUAL	Significant p			Must	Early	44. Reportin	og Senior Ad	Idross
	Problems	Progressing	Promotable	Promote	Promote			
UMMARY	0	0	1	0	0			
5. Signature of Reporting Senior		Dates		46. Signature of performance, ar I intend to subm	nd understand n	ny right to sub	mit a statem	report, been apprised of ment."  d to submit a statement.
7. Typed name, grade, command,		Date:	porting Senior	on Concurrent Re	port			L-MC.

## **Bibliography**

- Bernardin, H. John and Richard W. Beatty. *Performance Appraisal: Assessing Human Behavior at Work.* Kent Human Resource Management Series, 1984.
- Anstey, E. *Staff Reporting and Staff Development*. London, England: Royal Institute of Public Administration, 1961.
- Barnett, Donna G., Philip G. Benjamin, Emily E. Chamberlin, Michael L. Chase, Craig L. Hobbs, Terrance J. McCarthy, Gary T. McCoy, Lee A. Newman, Glenn N. Pontiff, Dennis R. Rider, James A. Steele, and Bruce A. Thieman. *Officer Evaluation System Proposal*. Maxwell Air Force Base, AL: Air University Library, May 1987.
- Air Force Instruction 36-2402, Officer Evaluation System, Washington, D.C., 01 July 1996
- Army Regulation 600-8-29, Officer Promotions. Washington, D.C., 30 November 1994.
- Army Regulation 623-105, Officer Evaluation Reporting System. Washington, D.C., 1 October 1997.
- Army Pamphlet 623-105, *The Officer Evaluation Reporting System "In Brief"*. Washington, D.C., 1 October 1997.
- US Marine Corps Officer Instruction, MCO P1610.7E, Performance Evaluation System. 08 December 1998.
- Bureau of Naval Personnel Instruction 1610.10, Navy Performance Evaluation and Counseling System. Washington, D.C., 02 August 1995.
- Erwine, Larry C. Officer Effectiveness Ratings—Facts or Fiction? Central Michigan University, January 1988.
- Gatewood, Robert D. and Hubert S. Field. *Human Resource Selection*. Harcourt Brace College Publishers, 1998.
- Jones, Darrell D. *The Air Force Officer Evaluation System: Is This the Best We Can Do?* Maxwell Air Force Base, AL: Air University Library, April 1995.
- Milkovich, George T. and John W. Boudreau. *Human Resource Management*. Boston, MA: Times Mirror Higher Education Group, 1997.
- Schmid, Harold W. A Comparative Analysis of the Officer Evaluation Systems of the U.S. Army and the U.S. Air Force. Maxwell Air Force Base, AL: Air University Library, March 1988.
- United States Total Army Personnel Command. *The New OER Briefing*. 1 October 1997, n.p., On-line. Internet, 2 January 1998. Available from http://www-perscom.army.mil/tagd/oers/brief/brief.htm.

# **DISTRIBUTION A:**

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Air Command and Staff College Maxwell AFB, Al 36112